cogenerative dialogue

by Pbi Unimus

Submission date: 03-Feb-2020 01:34AM (UTC-0600) Submission ID: 1239434250 File name: ARTIKEL_BU_FITRIA.docx (1.07M) Word count: 8156 Character count: 48991



Research Article

Cogenerative Dialogue of Cross-Generation Educators to Improve Chemistry Teaching Quality through Technology

Fitria Fatichatul HIDAYAH¹, Muhamad IMADUDDIN², Dwi Novita Warih PRAPTANINGRUM³, Dwi Anggraeni RISTANTI⁴

Received: XXXXXXXX Accepted: XXXXXXXX

This research showed the efforts of educators in improving the quality of the chemistry classroom atmosphere through technology. Cogenerative dialogue involves a dialogue between a small number of students, teachers, and researchers. This discussion featured an ethnographic case study from the co 33 ching and cogenerative dialogue involving junior lecturers, certified chemistry teachers, preservice chemistry teachers, and students in the chemistry learning about chemical bonding, chemical e 52 ents, and laboratory introduction. This dialogue is guided by questions related to Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). The SWOT analysis was used to provide an overview experienced by educators as well as TPACKing process. The use of a simple application that is a music player, video and camera can be easily/used to make the class more enjoyable. Students enjoy a more comfortable classroom atmosphere with song rhythms, funny videos, and selfie activities. Constraints in mastering concepts macroscopically, submicroscopically, and symbolically are completed by utilizing virtual/augmented reality and virtual laboratory. Cogenerative dialogue can inspire educators to try and harn the technology for teaching chemistry.

Keywords:

Cogenerative dialogue; Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge; Cross-generation educators; Chemistry educators; Chemistry teaching quality.

To cite this article:

Hidayah, F. F, Imaduddin, M., Praptaningrum, D.N.W., &. Ristanti, D.A. (2019). Cogenerative dialogue of cr 39 generation educators to improve chemistry teaching quality through technology. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, (ArtDOE

| ¹ M.Pd., Lecturer, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia. <mark>Email: <u>fitriafatichatul@unimus.ac.id</u>. Orcid</mark> |
|---|
| no: 0000-0003-3821-772 1 |
| ² M.Pd., M.Si., Lecturer, Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kudus, Indonesia. <u>Email: imad@iainkudus.ac.id</u> .Orcid no: |
| 0000-0002-3619-9985. Frag. Spisers |
| ³ M.Pd., SPd., Teacher, SMA Negeri 1 Kudus, Indonesia. Email: <u>noviwarih@yahoo.co.id</u> . Orcid no: 9000-0003- |
| 3243-5191. Frag. Sprs (FS |
| ⁴ S.Pd., Teacher, SMA Negeri 15 Semarang, Indonesia. <mark>Email: <u>ummualawy@gmail.com</u>, Orcic no: 0000-000</mark> 2- |
| 1260-3314. Frag. Sp. (15) |
| 1 |
| |
| |

43 troduction

Technolog 31 ay be the most influential factor that shapes the current educational landscape. Integrating technology in the classroom allows students to build 21stcentury skills in technology that will be utilized in any workforce and used for the rest of their lives (B2wn et al., 2018). Many schools have shown support for increasing the use of technology in the classroom by providing hardware such as tablets and computers, increasing internet connectivity, and implementing programs designed to improve computer skills for teachers and students. Internet, YouTube, Facebook, WhatsApp, and many emerging technologies have become Article Error inseparable from their daily lives (Szeto et al., 2015). Teachers are often required to be interactive and innovative by integrating technology into their teaching 👩 line with the changing paradigm of learning in this digital era (Chen et al., 2011). Professional educators not only need to achieve pedagogical content and PN @ knowledge (Shulman, 1986, 1987) but also relevant technical knowledge to get a broader list of teaching strategies for student learning needs in the teaching process (Ottenbreit-Leftwich et al., 2010). Although teachers generally respect the use of technology for education, they often find that technology integration in learning is such 23 ifficult challenge (Johnson et al., 2016).

Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) is a fr 35 work that is widely used to un 5 rstand and prepare teacher teaching knowledge related to the use of technology. TPACK emphasi 35 that knowledge is a subject, content and specific context in building the teacher's ability to integrate technological knowledge in classroom teachin 22 zeto & Cheng, 2017). Nevertheless, the ideas of TPACK have been studied in the field of technology integration in teaching and professional development since its introduction in 2005 (Olofson et al., 2016; Tømte et al., 2015; Voogt et al., 2012). Improving the quality of chemistry learning has been pursued in various ways by educators from the planning level until evaluating its implementation through technological integration. Each of these pedagogical practices is to connect the theory of education and practice of education and a direct way to improve the quality of training and the competence of future educators to work directly with students (Obradović, 2013).

In the process, novice educators experience significant changes in their position from "students who are learning" to "educators who are educating" and facing many difficult situations. It is called "reality shock" or "transformation shock", and overcoming this difficulty is very impedent for professional growth (Mcglynn-stewart, 2015; Sung, 2007). Educators with several years of service experience feel more qualified to do their jobs (tasks related to planning and teaching are rated highest) than educators with less work experience (Makovec, 2018). These constraints are related to the process of transferring chemistry learning material as well as classroom and laboratory management. The difficulty is

xxxxxxxxx

p. 📧

also that the implementation of 13 chnology in a school class is not as easy as imagined by beginner educators. Be 13 se teaching is the type of knowledge that is applied, field experience is the main activity in learning to teach; namely learning to teach by teaching while, as far as possible, bringing together all forms of knowledge relevant to field practice. There are beliefs about field experience th 25 must begin with a relatively long period compared to other people who teach (Tobin, 2006). Hence, co-teaching and cogenerative dialogue become an essential method for solvi 19 teaching problems.

Coteaching and cogenerative dialogue are forms of collaboration in teaching and learning that provide dynamic structures in the classroom that help educators to improve [36] agogical practices and student learning. Engaging students in a cogenerative dialogue will help educators to be involved and contribute to learning that leads to^o class transformation (Stith & Roth, 2008). Coteaching and cogenerative dialogue have been proposed and have influenced internationally in education research (Tobin, 2007). Cogenerative dialogue involves a dialogue between a small number of students, teachers, and researchers. All speak, listen, and learn from each other across boundaries such as age, gender, ethnicity, and rank. Over the past decade, instructors at all levels have used cogenerative dialogue to examine issues that have an impact on teaching and learning science through local knowledge. Cogen's dialectics research pedagogy provides a structure for educators and students to identify conditions that can improve teaching and learning, to allocate individual and collective responsibilities to learning, to produce optimal learning conditions and to set research agendas based on local knowledge [51] experience. Cogenerative dialogue has developed into a powerful tool for teachers and students at all levels of education (Bayne & Scantlebury, 2012).

As junior lecturers involved in the teacher preparation program for the past five years, we have various problems related to the learning process, especially in Basic Chemistry lectures in the first year of the student teachers. There are still many first-year chemistry pre-service teachers who have difficulty connecting the concept of macroscopic levels with submicroscopic levels, as well as their relation to symbolic levels (Imaduddin, 2018). The chemistry learning conditions previously obtained by first-year chemistry teacher candidates indicate that there is no maturity of the chemical concept that he obtained at the previous level or chemistry at the school level. Therefore, we need to discuss with seniors especially the ch 23 stry school-teachers in a study that involves the opportunity to use technology to improve the quality of learning in chemistry school classo especially for improving students' mastery of concepts. Students and educators have a greater re 32 nsibility to improve the quality of the learning process (Roth & Tobin, 2001). There is a shortage of classroom management skills among teachers, which is caused by some deviations in the teacher's primary education (Jasmina Delceva, 2014). This research showed

P/V 💷

the efforts of educators in improving the quality of the classroom atmosphere through technology. In this study, cogenerative dialogue and coggin ching were carried out between senior teachers and junior lecturers in teaching chemistry at the high school and college level. At this stage, we involve students, teachers, pre-service teachers, and lecturers of teacher training institutions to improve the quality of school chemistry learning.

Method

Research Design

Our research on co-teaching was conducted in two high schools in Province of Jawa Tengah, Indonesia, namely SMA Negeri 15 Semarang, Semarang City, and SMA^{rror} (1) Negeri 1 K₄dus, Kudus Regency, and two Universities in Semarang and Kudus, Indonesia. As part of the research, we changed roles not only as outside observers but also as a vive participants in teacher preparation and teaching chemistry at schools. Our research model is cogenerative dialogue, a f(4 n of participatory action research (Eldon & Levin, 1991), especially those that are close to forms of research that pair research and activism (Cole, 1991; Nissen, 1998). Cogenerative dialogue is a reflective conversation among selected participants (Tobin, 2014). This reflection does not offer a quick quality improvement to educators and students but provides social space for educators and students. Both of them provide perspective on how they feel during the class process. The parties participating in the dialogue have the opportunity to improve each other (Martin, 2006).

Participants

In this study, participants consisted of two junior lecturers (JL-H & JL-I) who taught in the teacher training program, two senior teachers (**57**-P & ST-R), four pre-service chemistry teachers (PCT), and school students. One of the main goals of cogenerative d7 logue is to identify contradictions that might be changed to improve the quality of teaching and learning chemistry. Cogenerative dialogu**7** is part of the critical pedagogical process (Tobin, 2014). Therefore, all participants are encouraged to express th 42 opinions, identify specific examples related to chemical content that are difficult to learn and teach, as well as the characteristics of students during chemistry lear **7** ng, all of which describe what parts need improvement. Besides, it also identifies examples of exemplary practices or disagreements on their examples that exemplify the need to change.

Data Collection and Analysis

To build meaning in a cogenerative dialogue session, we make a dialectical process consisting of two movements: understanding and explanation. Understanding is

xxxxxxxxx

obtained directly about the condition of the practical situation that occurs and is a necessary prerequisite of all other forms of understanding (Ricoeur & Kearney, 2007), then continued on hermeneutic analysis to look for explanations on the direct understanding obtained so that the elated theories can be obtained. The move from first understanding to this theory begins during cogenerative dialogue sessions and often continues during face-to-face meetings or e-mail exchanges (Roth & Tobin, 2001) and chat through WhatsApp applications related to information 54 garding the chemistry learning process among participants. Dialogue about Technological Pedagogical Content 33 owledge (TPACK) lead to questions (Harris et al., 2012) that are structured as TPACK-specific questions namely:

(1) How and why certain technologies used in chemistry learning "fit" the purpose of the content/process?;

(2) How and why do certain technologies used in chemistry learning "fit" the teaching strategies we used?;

(3) How and why are the learning objectives, teaching strategies, and technology used all "fit" together in chemistry learning?.

This discussion featured an ethnographic case study from the co-teaching and cogenerative dialogue on the chemistry learning about chemical bonding, elements, and laboratory introduction. The results of the dialogue provide a direction on how at the end efforts to make "Fit" on the criteria consisting of "Content" (Curriculum-based technology), "Pedagogy" (Using technology in teaching/learning), "Technology" (Compatibility of technology with curriculum goals & instructional strategies). In addition to interpreting the story of teaching experience, information is also obtained from the reflection of the learning outcomes provided by students of senior teachers, as well as videos of leating activities that they find interesting. The acquisition of reflection results was also obtained from the reports of preservice teachers who carried out internships in the third and fourth years of their Bachelor of Education program. The SWOT analysis was used to provide an overview experienced by educators, as well as strategies planned.

P/V 🖭

Findings

The complexity of chemistry classrooms has required stakeholders to question, reflect, and take action to understand and correct problems related to the dynamics of students, educators, and curriculum. Critical pedagogy shows that changes in contemposity science classes (including chemistry classes) must involve educators who take an active role in creating critical awareness and utilize critical pedagogy, to produce meaningful learning outcomes (Kincheloe, 19924 Cogenerative dialogue research has provided a strong understanding of and insight into, the complex

forces that shape the teaching and learning of science and science education (Bayne & Scantlebury, 2012). The cogenerative dialogue begins with a huddle (Aubusson et al., 2006a) and discussions between senior teachers (ST) and junior lectures (JL) relating to their findings and experiences in teaching chemistry, including the internship experience provided by pre-service chemistry teachers (BGT). Cogenerative dialogues occur when co-teachers (ST, JL, PCT, and students) discuss the issues that impact teaching and learning and collectively generate solutions to any problems (Scantlebury et al., 2008). Cogenerative dialogue is an open discression where all the opinions and voices of participants have the same outstanding value, and the participants together produce a product (e.g. solving a problem in chemistry teaching and learning) (Martin, 2006). The discussion in this study is not only limited to face-to-face meetings but also discussions through long conversations via email, written reports, and chat via WhatsApp. The results of the initial dialogue involving ST and JL, which are cross-generation educators, show diversities in the strategies used in chemistry learning. A comparison of commonly used strategies can be seen in Table 1. Based on the four cases that happened to each cross-generation educators, we will analyze the potential of each to develop TPACK through cogenerative dialogue that has been implemented.

Sp. 📧

| Icaning and ST-R ST-R LH LH receining receining receining receining receining receining receining into underscool that class activities and divided into underscool that class activities receining receining referenced noise into mode and into presentation activities and accussion method intermolecular uses animated vision ritrandecular into presentation activities and discussion internolse ass static and ass static ritrandiscular intermolsecular internolse and ass static intercal bonds with a coru intercal bonds with a coru internolsecular ass static ritractions. intermolsecular internolsecular internolsecular and discussion internolsecular ass static ritractions. internolsecular internolsecular internolsecular ass static intercal bonds with a coru ritractions. internolsecular internolsecular internolsecular ass static internolsecular ritractions. internolsecular internolsecular internolsecular internolsecular internolsecular ritractions. interenolsecular interenolsecular internols | Chemistry | Difficulties in | Instructional Strategies | ties in Instructional Strategies | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| It is not understood that Class activities are tracking is carried out by lecture Tearing the demanded by recentation activities and discussion methods by feeture to bonds with FowerPoint methods and discussion methods on the area asked to intramolecular methods and with FowerPoint media on structures. Presentations and discussion methods with FowerPoint media on structures are asked to intermolecular methods and with FowerPoint media or students are asked to intermolecular methods. Partexensions with particine, wire, or the PowerPoint media and intermolecular methods. Partexension activities make molecular shapes with make molecular strands. This material is Learning material about The division of students are asked to the real world intermolecular method into several parts to be taught and learned in structures. Sentence and class by groups to carry out students into activities related to the second structure. Sentence and structures and ask prospective using videos able students. Sentence and structures and ask prospective using videos able students and underscoil about from to the discussion of the case studies and underscoil and underscoil about the discuss restands and ask prospective using videos able students are not the second and underscoil about the discuss results and the discussion of the discussion and ask prospective using videos able students are not the anomy and ask prospective using videos able students are not the discuss results and underscoil about the discuss results and the discuss results and the discuss results and the discuss results and the discuss results at the discuss results and the discuss results at the discuss results at the distory set intervies about the discuss results at | learning material | | ST-P | ST-R | JL-H | F |
| chemical bonds can be dominated by student and discussion matched in pythemical bonds withsam media on character intermolecular mate asked to intramolecular mate intermolecular make molecular shapes with material is intermolecular shapes with be taught and learned into several parts to be transition of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion students. Sentence Cap. (P) Sentence Sentence in front of the ty know, then the tool is the set of the set of the tase of the tase of the set of the tase of the tase of the set of the tase of the set of the set of the tase of the tase of the set of the tase of the set of the tase of the set of the tase of the ta | Chemical | It is not understood that | activities are | Teaching is carried out by lecture | guin | Teaching chemistry |
| divided into presentation activities students are asked to intermolecular points with PowerPoint media, or students are asked to intermolecular media, or students are asked to intermolecular media or charterions. The annue media or students are asked to in the real world minemolecular and model and provide are asked to balloons, plasticine, wire, or plastic straws plasticine, wire, or presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion be tangly and learned provide are too presented into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion be aught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion be aught and learned as by groups of cases there are too presented into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presented in front of the class. Sentences and provide are asked to much scope and students. Sentence Cap (Chen and Strates) students. Sentence Cap (Chen are asked to the sector channels) and because there are not the class by groups of a student state to the use of the class in students. Sentence Cap (Chen are asked to seek in front Your students in the relation of the case studies related to the use of the transporter astrations in front of the case studies related to the use of the menorization demands (Chem are asked to seek in the show, the net tool (Chem are asked to be asked to the use of the internet about how information on the students, the about now information on the students and provide to the fractions of the case studies strates to the students of the transporter asked to the the tool (Chem are asked to the use of the internet about how information on the students detains asked to the use of the internet about how information on the students detains detains asked to the the tool (Chem are asked to the tool | bonding | <u>.</u> | | | uce analogies about | |
| intramolecular and with FowerPoint media, or students are asked to in the real world, intermolecular intermolecular and with FowerPoint media, or students are asked to in the real world, sentenceas any elevations. This material is Learning material about The division of students into several parts to ready and learned into several parts to carry out students and learned into several parts to carry out students and learned into several parts to carry out students into several parts of the discussion be taught and learned into several parts to carry out students into several parts to presentations in front of the task prospective strengthening to be taught and learned into several parts to carry out students related to discussion be taught and learned into several parts to presentations in front of the trans and ask prospective strengthening to be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the task prospective strengthening to be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the task prospective strengthening to be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the task prospective strengthening to the menorization demands students. Sentence (2a) (m) Youthe. Some task prospective strengthening to the students details and the envirted to a students details and the envirted to a students details and the envirted to a students details and the internet about them the student are not the envirted or a student to be used to take the tool discusses because the students details and the envirted to a students details and the envirted to the students details and the envirted to the student detail and underteent about the vist of the envirted to |) | | | afe asked | //chemical bonds/withau | on |
| intermolecular and the dullest to the elements are asked to an the real world intermolecular attractions. It is material is Learning material is Learning material about The division of students into Educators Classify Pre-service to the be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the case students and provide are asked to several memorization demands students. Sentence are too presented in front of the case students into Educators Classify Pre-service to discussion because there are too presented in front of the case students and provide are asked to several parts to be presentations in front of the case students and provide are asked no every and ensured and uncorreation demands students. Sentence Cap (E) Sentence (C) Sentence Cap (E) Sentence (C) Sente | | | with PowerPoint media. | presentations with PowerPoint | pair bonds that occur | |
| attractions. attractions. attractions. attractions. This material as the molecular shapes with participation of students into attractions. Platicipations, plasticine, wire, or plastic straws. This material is Learning material about the dimension of students into the dements is divided groups to or earry out students and provide are asked be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of he case studies related to discussion because there are too presented in front of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presented in front of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups to carry out students and provide are asked to several parts to be transmosed and the class. Sentence Cap. (F) Artichemical Cadements, students. Sentence Cap. (F) Artichemical Cadements in the students and the class of the case studies related to discussion students and the class by groups of the case studies related to discussion students and the class. Sentence Cap. (F) Artichemical Cadements in the section of the case studies related to the section discussion because there are not the section of the case to discussion from Yourube. The dimensional demands attracted to seek initially, students and the cools utilizes studied and unterneed about now information in demands they will often be used to use laboratory with for their her not the sections of each equipment, the work the tool distores the distores of | | intermolecular | | media, or students are asked to | in the real world. | intermolecular force |
| This material is Learning material about The division of students into Educators classify Pre-service to considered the dulest to the elements is divided groups to carry out students and provide and into several parts to be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion much students. Sentence Cap. (13) Se | | attractions. | | make molecular shapes with | Senten | |
| This material is Learning material about The division of students into Educators classify Pre-service the considered the dullest to the elements is divided groups to carry out students and provide are asked into several parts to be taught and learned into several parts to be transpression because there are too presented in front of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presented in front of the case studies related to discussion much scope and structures of the class. So there are too presentations students. Sentence Cap. (6) Artichemical ask prospective using videos ab tradents and understand then children are asked to seek initially, stufferstatene cartered the there is a students and understand then children are asked to seek initially, stufferstatene cartered the internet about her them asked to seek initially, stufferstatene cartered the theory will often be used to understand the case and understand the cast are asked to seek initially, stufferstatene cartered the theory will be used to the use of the distance of the case asked to seek initially, stufferstatene cartered the distance of the di | | | | balloons, plasticine, wire, or | | questions |
| This material is Learning material about The division of students into Educators classify Pre-service to the taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups of the class. Students is students. Sentence Cap. (1) Sentence Cap. (2) Sentence Cap. (2) Sentence Cap. (3) Sentence Cap. (3) Sentence Cap. (4) Sentence Cap. (5) Senten | | | | plastic straws | | |
| considered the dullest to the elements is divided groups to carry out students and provide are asked be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presented in front of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups of class. Antichaential of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups of much scope and class by groups of class. Antichaential of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups of class. Antichaential of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups of class. Antichaential of the case studies related to discussion demands students. Sentence Cap. (F) | Chemical | material | Learning material about | students | | Pre-service teachers |
| be taught and learned into several parts to be presentations in front of the case studies related to discussion because there are too presented in front of the case studies related to discussion much scope and class by groups of class. Artichemical (relements, presentations students, students, students, students, students are not chemical laboratory types budents are asked to seek initially, studestatione of the related to the use of the case studies are contracted and underseand internet about chemical her children are asked to seek initially, studes by groups of the internet about they will often be used to the use of the internet about they will often be used to the use of the internet about they will often be used to the use of the internet about they will often be used to the use of the internet about they will often be used to use laboratory cquipment, the Artisterarded is explored continued to the use of the internet about the set of the internet about they will often be used to use laboratory equipment, the Artisterarded is explored continues in the total about they will often be used to use laboratory equipment, the Artisterarded is explored continues in the total about the total about the total about the total internet about the total internet about the total abou | element | considered the dullest to | the elements is divided | to carry | | |
| because there are too presented in front of the class. much scope and class by groups of class. much scope and sk prospective strengthening le students. Sentence Cap. (5) Sentence Cap. (6) Sentence | | be taught and learned | | ations in front of | case studies related to | discussion |
| much scope and class by groups of and ask prospective strengtheningle students. Sentence Cap. (1) Sentence Cap. (2) Sentence Cap. (2) Sentence Cap. (2) Sentence Cap. (2) Sentence Cap. (3) hers to play roles using videos aby related to the use of clements down these elements in from Youtube. Sentence Cap. (3) here to the use of clements down these elements in from Youtube. Sentence Cap. (3) here to the use of clements of the veryday life interact and underscape the children are asked to seek initially, stullestateanes Carleaching children and underscape the children are asked to seek initially, stullestateanes Carleaching children and underscape the children are asked interact about chemical here, the proves the internet about now the tools utilizes for the used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment aboratory equipment, the Artister will often be used to use aboratory equipment aboratory aborato | | because there are too | presented in front of the | class. | | presentations and |
| memorization demands students. Sentence Cap. (1) Sentence Cap. (1) | | scope | by groups | | and ask prospective | strengthening lecturers |
| Sentence Cap. (1) Simple laboratory types of sequipment are not of sequipment are not studied and underneed by students' details and functions, even though the children are asked by students' details and functions, even though the internet about chemical by students' details and functions, even though the internet about now functions of each equipment, the functions, even though they will often be used for chemical lab work equipment for chemical lab work for chemical lab work students (1) for chemical lab work for chemical lab work students (1) for chemical lab work for chemical lab work for chemical lab work students for chemical lab work for chemical lab work students for chemical lab work for chemical lab work fo | | memorization demands. | | | 30 chers to play roles | using videos about th |
| Simple laboratory types Simple laboratory types of equipment are not studied and understead by students' details and there the children are asked to seek the children are asked the children are asked the children are asked to the children are asked the children are | | ŭ | antence Cap. ன | | related to the use of | elements downloaded |
| Simple laboratory types Students are invited to a Students are asked to seek Initially, studictistence Carteaching che of equipment are not chemical laboratory. Wrinfortmation in advance via the asked to draw the tools utilizes studied and understead then children are asked internet about chemical they know, then their laboratory softwork by students' details and the internet about how the internet about now the tool laboratory vide they will often be used to use laboratory equipment, the about the internet about now the tool laboratory vide they will often be used to use laboratory equipment, the about how the tool laboratory vide they will often be used to use laboratory equipment, the about how the tool laboratory vide they will often be used to use laboratory best discuss results in Run-on the tool laboratory ideo use laboratory best discuss results in Run-on the tool laboratory ideo used to chemical lab work set to use laboratory best discuss results in Run-on the tool laboratory best discuss results in Run-on the tool laboratory ideo used to chemical lab work set to the results in Run-on the tool laboratory ideo used to chemical lab work the resolutions of each equipment. | | | | | elements | from Youtube. |
| Simple laboratory types Students are invited to a Students are asked to seek Initially, stullStateares CalTeaching ch of sequipment are not chemical laboratory. Wrinfortmitation in advance via the asked to draw the tools utilizes studied and understead then children are asked internet about chemical they know, then their laboratory softw by students' details and to find information on laboratory equipment, the ArtistexFiedge is explored Cointroduce the functions, even though the internet about how the internet about now the tool lab equipment, they will offen be used to use laboratory adjonance of each equipment, about how the tool lab equipment functions. Students descent about to the students descent about to use laboratory equipment, about how the tool lab equipment functions. Students discuss feeduations functions are available. Articles available. Articles available. Articles available. Articles available. | | | | | everyday life. | |
| of equipment are not chemical laboratory. Weinfortation in advance via the asked to draw the tools utilizes studied and understood then children are asked internet about chemical hey know, then their laboratory softwork durations, even though the internet about how the internet about now the tool laboratory vide they will offen be used to use laboratory discusses results in RMA on functions. Students dealed to use laboratory approach discusses results in RMA on functions. | Laboratory | Simple laboratory types | Students are invited to a | are asked to | | |
| then children are asked internet about chemical they know, then their laboratory softw to find information on laboratory equipment, the Artisteoradegees explored Cointroduce the laboratory about now the internet about now the tool lab equipment to use laboratory about more discuss results in R0A-on in the showcase advincable. Articles and the second cointroduce the laboratory advince to use laboratory apouts discuss results in R0A-on in advince to available. Articles advince the laboratory advince to the laboratory advince | introduction | of equipment are not | | onforentiation in advance via the | asked to draw the tools. | utilizes virtual |
| to find information on laboratory equipment, the Articiteowrhologe is explored Cointroduce the requipment, about how the tool lab equip to use laboratory laboratory advisors regulations. Functions, showcase equipment equipment in R00-on in the R00-on in the showcase groups. | | studied and understood | then children are asked | about | they know, then their | laboratory software t |
| the internet about how functions of each equipment, about how the tool lab equip to use laboratory laboratory reach regulations. functions, laboratory videc equipment groups. groups. Articles available. Articles | | by students' details and | to find information on | equipment, the | strownedge is explored | |
| to use laboratory <u>aboratory aboratory</u> eark regulations. functions. laboratory video equipment students discusses results in R00-on (fit) showcase laboratory students. In R00-on (fit) aboratory video activity is available. Article | | functions, even though | the internet about how | of each equipment, | about how the tool |) |
| equipment Students discussers results in Rûn-ons(ers) showcase agences Cap. (c) groups. Articl | | they will often be used | use | aboratory regulations. | functions, | |
| Bragence Cap. (5) groups. Article Arti | | for chemical lab work. | | discuss results h | Wh-ons ers) | |
| available. Article | | _ | tones an ere | | | _ |
| | | | | Security: | | Article |

Discussion

In this section, we provide descriptions of the interactions of the four crossgeneration educators that we analyzed for the process of improving the quality of their chemistry teaching, as well as the use of technology that they have and might carry out. We explain the context of each educator to provide a background for teaching and using their technology. We then dismantle the nature and level of their interactions with various ideas and beliefs, other people, and the technological environment influencing their teaching process.

Case 1: When you have to describe electrons in 37 ur mind

41

ST-R is a certified female chemistry teacher with 18 years of teaching experience in a public school located in Semarang. One of the chemical content that is difficult to teach is chemical bonds. This chapter is closely related to the meaning of the submicroscopid level to be symbolic in the form disimages and forms. The submicroscopic fevel is an unobservable world and can only be accessed with imagination (Bucat 240 Mocerino, 2009; Imaduddin & Haryani, 2019). Visual representations have great importance in the learning and teaching of chemistry (Alkan & Koçak Altundağ, 2015). ST-R revealed her difficulty in explaining intermolecular forces. She stated that the explanation of the intermolecular force is often not emphasized by the teacher, in contrast to the explanation of intramolecular chemical bonds consisting of ionic and covalent bonds. A short time has been taken for an explanation of intramolecular bonds. Problems related to intermolecular forces are also not found in many national exam questions. Based on her explanation, she previously did not provide an explanation of the association or classification of bonds into intramolecular and intermolecular bonds, so students often considered different chapters not related to each other.

As a basic chemistry lecturer, JL-H and JL-I, having five years of teaching experience at the college level, feel the need for students to understand the linkages of concepts through the classification of chemical bonds into intramolecular and intermolecular. JL-H used the metaphor and analogy to give an initial impression of the chemical bond material, and then it was related to the type of 10 erial found in their daily lives. The philosophical origins and education of this metaphor and analogy have resulted in a variety of significant literature and cognitive the transformation of significant literature and cognitive the science teaching and learning; promote high-level thinking; and produce new tools for interpreting science education research (Aubusson et al., 2006b). JL-I stated the need for caution and anticipation on the use of analogies in teaching chemistry because it could lead to misconceptions. The analogy is called a "two-edged sword"

9

xxxxxxxxxx

21

because the use of analogies produces the right 10 wledge, which is often accompanied by alternative conceptions. Students use their past knowledge, experience, and preferences to interpret analogies when they 'accept' analogies so that the analogi 21 are in harmony with their current personal and social environment. It is called the construction of personal meaning (Harrison & Treagust, 2006). The development of high-level thinking skills requires considerable effort on the part of the teacher. They need to use various learning approaches to develop students' ability to transfer their knowledge and skills, critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Hadzhikoleva et al., 2019). JL-I usually emphasizes the understanding of submicroscopic forms through animated videos downloaded through the Youtube page.

Based on the huddle among participants, the use of virtual reality/augmented reality (VR/AR) was worth trying to congruct the understanding of prospective teachers related to chemical bonds. Augmented Reality (AR) in extension of Virtual Reality (VR). Unlike traditional VR, AR combines the real world and virtual world so that users can interact with virtual objects that are inserted in real scenes around them and get the more natural and original human-computer interaction experience (Salve et al., 2017). An augmented reality (AR) of a mobile game improved pre-service teachers' self-efficacy and attitudes that lead to the integration of AR pedagogy in future STEM classes (Burton et al., 2011). In line with the participatory design approach, the GeoSciTeach smartphone application supported the awareness of pre-service science teachers about integrating geospatial ideas into science (Price et al., 2014). Mobility, combined with other features that appear in augmented reality, can help facilitate contextual learning experiences. University teachers find that implementing augmented reality in lectures significantly enhances student learning and their teaching processes in pedagogical and technical terms (Rizov & Rizova, 2015).

The initiation of the practice of utilizing this technology was carried out by JL-I using the RApp chemistry application, as seen in Figure 1, RApp Chemistry is a mobile application that works with augmented reality systems to describe the periodic table of chemical elements. The primary purpose of RApp Chemistry is for students to study the periodic table and all its characteristics (Plata & Muñoz, 2017). is available and accessed through 34 https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.CreatingWare.RApp&hl=en. The initial construction is carried out by understanding the electrons and their configuration in the arrangement of the periodic table of elements and continuing to discuss the characteristics of elements based on their arrangement in the periodic table.

P/V 📻



Figure 1. The use of Augmented Reality (AR) technology to understand the position of electrons

PCT showed enthusiasm in the use of AR, and reached the understanding of elemental arrangement patterns in the periodic system, and how the location of electrons in the atomic structure of each element influences the type of bond formed, and the polarity of molecules. PCT explained that the weakness of using AR is that not all students have cellphones that support AR programs. Only Android smartphones with cameras are needed to build a local AR environment (Salve et al., 2017). The anticipation of these obstacles is dealt with by group activities to use the AR application on observing the position of electrons in the atomic structure.

Case 2: Teaching chemical elements to my students is so boring

ST-P is a certified female chemistry teacher who has started teaching 20 years ago and has a magister degree in chemistry education. She is also the chief of the Teacher Consultation Group of Chemistry Subject in Kudus Regency since three years ago. During the dialogue session, she gave a very positive response because it provided an oppositive to share her teaching experience freely. Cogenerative dialogue involving two or more people who come together to talk about events or shared experiences and not limited to face-to-face meetings is also extended through online con 47 sation activities. She felt that she needed a story-sharing session with fellow educators to improve the quality of herself as a professional teacher and improve the learning process she had carried out.

She began her story related to the difficulty in teaching material content of chemical elements that were felt so boring to be taught and learned by students. The following is a snippet of the dialogue that has been translated.

ST-P: The boring learning material of chemistry subject to teach is chemical elements, isn't it?

JL-I: Yes, I agree. I also find it difficult to teach. The material was a challenge when I taught in tutoring first. It's full of memorization.

ST-P: I divide students into groups and ask them to present previously shared material. Even so, I feel that it is less effective and seems monotonous. What do you think?.

/V (ETS

xxxxxxx

- JL-I: When it comes to monotony, I have previously taught first-level students for presentations and allowed them to express themselves in their presentation techniques. Some of them have standard presentations using PowerPoint, some play drama stories related to chemistry, and some play diorama. The classroom atmosphere becomes more alive.
- ST-P: Can they still master the material content?
- JL-I: I did not force them to memorize the whole material. Providing opportunities to develop creativity, in my opinion, is more memorable and meaningful.

ST-P: It means that I can modify such as by making songs, comics, and so on. Good idea.

Based on the conversation in the cogenerative dialogue that ST-P and JL-I felt that choosing a method for learning elemental chemistry was not easy. This material tends to be taught with presentations in the form of a material presented by groups of students. JL-H provides contextual learning alternatives by providing cases related to chemical elements and followed by role-playing activities related to the material content. Nevertheless, JL-I provides advice on activities that make the class no longer monotonous and more alive through the exploration of student creativity.

The co-teaching practice is carried out in the ST-P class by allowing students to produce chemical learning products in the form of song compositions, video clips, rhymes, poems, pictures, posters, comic strips, and their creative products. They are not limited to product types but are $\frac{1}{27}$ ed to show the relevance of what PN @ students make with elemental chemicals. When students are involved and motivated and feel arthimal stress, information flows freely, and they reach a higher level of awareness. Such learning does not come from quiet classrooms or directed lectures, but from classrooms with an atmosphere of passionate discovery (Kohn, 2026. The learning approach applied to co-teaching was a joyful learning approach. A joyful learning approach is a learning approach that can create a pleasant learning atmosphere (Pangestika et al., 2017). This approach is applied through learning models that are designed to make students active, creative, innovative, and feel happy during the learning process so that students with their awareness want and love learning chemistry (Astriani et al., 2013). ST-P tried to make simple use of smartphone technology, which was for singing and playing music in chemistry learning activities as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. ST-P's students used their smartphone at a chemistry classroom session to sing songs related to material

12

Students maintain what they learn when learning is associated with strong positive emotions (Dulay & Burt, 1977; Krashen, 1982). Cognitive psychology studies provide clinical evidence that stress, boredom, confusion, low motivation, and anxiety can individually interfere with learning (Christianson, 1992). ST-P realized that students need motivation and pleasure in learning. Students enjoy a more comfortable c20 room atmosphere with song rhythms, funny videos, and selfic activities. The teacher is the instigator of the fundamental interactions with his/her students, and he/she can become an instigator like that only with well-organized teaching (Xhemajli, 2016). ST-P also recounted the learning strategies that had been carried out previously on other chemical subject matter, namely the rate of reaction, by making learning outside the classroom through the activity of burning skewers (Bahasa: sate).

ST-P was aware of the limitations of her ability to present technologyintegrated learning. Even so, she felt technological developments were helpful. She gave an example of the use of e-mail and WhatsApp in providing information to classes and gathering assignments. ST-R stated that when watching chemistry learning integrated with technology, such as using virtual laboratory applications, the presentation looked so easy, but if she tried it by herself, it turned out that she was still struggling. Both believe that the generation of JL-H, JL-I, PCT, and their students are very adept at utilizing technology. ST-P directed the assignment integrating technology, adapted, in skill, she still felt left behind. The product created in the ST-P class can be seen in Figure 3.



XXXXXXX

13

Figure 3. Students' creations in the form of a comic strip and video clip about the material of chemical elements

An important note from the results of student reflections on learning about chemical elements is that some students feel that they did not understand the learning materials because students only master the material for their groups. Even so, students stated that they could develop their creativity, used technology to study chemistry, and enjoyed chemical learning organized by ST-P. JL-I provided advice on the use of periodic table applications that can be accessed through http://periodictableexplorer.com/pc.htm as shown in Figure 4. This application contains all elements of the Periodic Table, which are accompanied by images of elements in their natural state, as well as much other information and interactive displays (Freshney, 2016). Nevertheless, the constraints on using this application are that the language used is still in English so for ST, JL, PCT, and students must translate into Indonesian if needed.



Figure 4. One of the computer and smartphone applications that can be applied to learning chemistry about elements (Periodic Table Explorer 1.9 beta).

Case 3: What they see is not necessarily what they can use

The introduction of chemical laboratories is needed by students, mainly the functions, specifications, and use of laboratory equipment that they will use in practical activities. Learning material about the introduction of chemical laboratory has been identified as the heart of an excellent scientific program allowing students in schools to have experiences that are consistent with the Missing "," objectives of scientific literacy (Akani, 2015). Based on the experience of ST-R & JL-H, high school students and even first-year teacher candidates do not understand the functions of laboratory equipment, even the names of simple laboratory equipment such as beakers, measuring cylinders, volumetric flasks, Erlenmeyer flasks, volumetric pipettes, burettes, and test tubes. JL-I also found that first-year students showed improper laboratory work in choosing tools for reacting materials. ST-P experienced a similar thing. Learning material about the introduction of chemical laboratories are taught in almost the same way by cross-generation educators.

Based on the results of the experiences obtained by participants, JL-I designed laboratory learning that not only utilized the laboratory directly but also by using a virtual laboratory. JL-I implemented the Crocodile Chemistry application to introduce laboratory equipment, chemical characteristics, and chemical reactions in virtual experiments. Crocodile Chemistry is a new simulation program presented by Crocodile Clips as shown in Figure 5. This simulation allows users to combine various reagents in exact quantities, using a variety of glass equipment options in the laboratory and materials including various kinds of acids, bases, metals, inorganic salts, gases, and indicators (Keith-Lucas, 2000).

Cogenerative dialogue can focus on implementing an activity, lesson, or assessment and provide opportunities for teachers to reflee on their teaching le Error practices. Through collective teaching discussion, the teacher can become aware of the explicit and tacit aspects of teaching (Tobin et al., 2003). ST-R stated that she had been discussing with PCT regarding how to assess students' skills in carrying out practical work effectively. Observing and assessing students in detail when they carry out practical activities is not easy. Based on the dialogue of ST-R and PCT, ST-R provided flexibility for students to use smartphones as a medium for documenting their laboratory work, for example, documenting changes in the color of chemical reflections. This showed that the ST-R's learning strategy has seen opportunities for the use of technology to improve the quality of teaching chemistry. Digital technology is a handy academic tool in the realization of educational activities (Arsic & Milovanovic, 2016).



XXXXXXX

Figure 5. Crocodile Chemistry application view for learning about the introduction of chemical laboratories

ST-R considered students in the current era to be high-speed learning and utilizing technology. ST-R felt t₁₁ her skill is lagging in utilizing technology for learning. Findings that represent lower ICT literacy for teachers compared to other civil servants reveal a problematic situation for the education community because it is usually expected that teachers have a higher level of ICT literacy than those from other workgroups to provide appropriate guidance for students (Soysal et al., 2019). Educators need to position appropriately for students in the implementation of learning activities and provide psychological assistance to utilize existing technology for learning resources (Putranta & Jumadi, 2019).

Nevertheless, ST-R realized that learning technology is essential for enhancing professionalism as an educator. When siscussing the delivery of new technology to the classroom, educators address the problem known as the "double innovation" problem (Education.com, 2014). 20uble innovation shows additional work that must be done by the teacher. The teacher must first study technology well enough for the needs in the classroom before deciding how to integrate technology with the objectives and class curriculum. While educational technology has become easier to learn, the problem of degl innovation still shows the need for additional preparation. Ertmer (1999) showed that time is one of the most influential barriers to integrating new class technology. The time of a teacher is precious, and it is undeniable that one of the most frequently accepted challenges today is to integrate new technology into the classroom.

Strength, Weakness, Opportunity, and Threat (SWOT) Analysis for TPACKing Process

We reinterpret TPACK as TPACKing, which leads to an active process carried out by the teach 3 where he builds knowledge to teach in a technology-rich environment. TPACKing is the process of constructing knowledge and balance through which TPACK is unique for each educator (Olofson et al., 2016). When involved in the

TPACKing process, educators strive to unite technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge and facilitate each other. We are interested in our experiences, past experiences, and knowledge of students in the process of building our TPACK. The educators then apply this TPACK to students and the environment, and this interaction mediates the next TPACK construction. When TPACKing, knowledge of cross-generation educators continues to be built and is assisted by experiential experiences so that the content of an educators TPACK changes. Changes are generated in the innovations achieved and their beliefs about technology, pedagogy, and their content area. The following is presented in the SWOT analysis on the TPACKing process 18 ptained from the summary of cogenerative dialogue that has been carried out as shown in Table 2.

3

| _ Р | Enhancers | Inhibitors |
|----------|--|---|
| F | | |
| Internal | Strengths Beliefs and attitudes of educators who always want to learn new things including the implementation of technology in classrooms A willingness to collaborate and be open with changes and technological developments The attitude of educators that provides opportunities for students to develop their technological skills | Weakness 26 1. Educators' attitudes and beliefs about the negative impact of technology integration into the classroom. 2. Low confidence in skills and knowledge given. 2. Low confidence in skills and knowledge given. 2. Low confidence in skills and knowledge given. 2. Educators expecially educators, with little experience. 3. Educator resistance to technology in the |
| External | Opportunities 1. Access: Institutional policies that allow students to use smartphones during school hours have provided opportunities to develop mobile learning. The concept of Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) as proposed by Afreen (2014) can be implemented in institutions. Also, there are currently many PC or smartphone applications available to support the | classroom Threats 1. Access: insufficient equipment or connectivity 2. Training: inadequate Frtraining related to technology 3. Support: inadequate technical support and administrative/peer support. Frag. ETS |

Table 2. SWOT Analysis of the educators' TPACKing process

| 7 | | | xxxxxxxx Sp. |
|---|--|------------|-----------------|
| Р | Enhancers | Inhibitors | |
| | improvement of the quality | of | |
| | chemical learning. 2. Training: There Shagenbo | | |
| | 8 | the | |
| | development of TPA | | |
| | provided free of charge (e | | |
| | http://etraining.seamolec.o | 0 | |
| | \angle which can be followed | • | |
| | educators of various levels | • | |
| | education. | | |
| | 3. Support: As Ertmer (19 | 99) | |
| | pointed out, the form | | |
| | support during the initial ph | | |
| | of TPACKing is that educat | ors | |
| | need more technical supp | | |
| | to use new t 53 hology. Wh | nen | |
| | educators become m | ore | |
| | proficient in tech <mark>i</mark> 2cal ski | ills, | |
| | needs can shift | to | |
| | administration and p | eer | |
| | support to 2 elp develop a | | |
| | implement technology in th | | |
| | classrooms. This type | | |
| | support can be provided in t | | |
| | professional learni | | |
| | community (e.g. Micros | | |
| | Educator Community, wh | | |
| | | via | |
| | https://education.microsof | | |
| | om/) through regu | ılar | |
| | discussions. ances; F= Factors | | |

With the development of new technologies for education, educators must continue to challenge themselves and explore whether these technologies support learning their content (Niess et al., 2009). Exploration must be part of a larger balance process that includes the influence of students and philosophical points of view. The involvement of internal factors in professional educators, as well as factors outside themselves concerning their interaction patterns with the community and technology, provides an overview of the process of developing educators' TPACK. The SWOT analysis showed internal and external factors that are the triggers and inhibitors of the educators' TPACKing process. The internal factors include the attitudes and beliefs of educators in trying new technologies for ror

their classes, confidence in skills and knowledge, and the level of resistance to technology implementation. The external factors that play a role in TPACKing educators include 23 ccess related to technological facilities and infrastructure, training provided for the development of educator tect 3 ology skills, and support for the development of educators' TPACK. TPACKing is a process of equilibrating intrapersonal, technological, and interpersonal influences (Olofson et al., 2016). Advanced technology builds connections between users and their lives (Betoncu & Ozdamli, 2019).

Conclusion

The cogenerative dialogue was fruitful in catalyzing improvements in the chemistry teaching and learning quality. All participants participated in eac 26 nethod to provide an overview and improvement of a problem found in teaching and learning. Not only educators, both teachers and lecturers, students and pre-service teachers also provide advice and improvements to the quality of teaching.

The integration of teaching with technology has an impact both for internal educators and for the environment. Students are given an alternative to unconventional learning through optimizing the use of technology that may be mastered by educators. Educators have sought to integrate technology into content and pedagogical knowledge. In their efforts, educators provide space for themselves to learn technology and provide space for students to use technology as an essential tool for creating active chemical learning both in terms of providing comfortable nuances in the learning process and concretizing and jumping out understanding of chemical concepts. Through cogenerative dialogue, each educator inspires and is inspired, as well as students and pre-service chemistry teachers also provide direction of improvement, which is also a source of inspiration for educators to improve their pedagogical quality.

The next challenge is how to provide a more detailed picture of the TPACKing process that occurs in the personal educator, and how to grow TPACK by looking at the personal characteristics of the educator and the educator's environment. Thus, senior educators, junior lecturers, pre-service chemistry teachers, and students can enjoy current technology to accelerate the process of learning chemistry.

Acknowledgments

19

XXXXXXXX

ETS

We would like to thank the financial support from the Directorate General of Learning and Student Affairs, the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of I donesia (RISTEK-DIKTI) through the Lecturer Assignment Grant in Schools. Second, we would also like to thank all those who or assisted in collecting research data.

Biodata of the Authors



Fitria Fatichatul HIDAYAH is a lecturer. She received her Master's degree in the field of Science Education (M.Pd.) at Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia in 2013. Her research focuses on science education, chemistry education, science teaching and learning, and STEM education. Affiliation: Missing ", Study Program of Chemistry Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Central Java Province, Indonesia. E-mail: fitriafatichatul@unimus.ac.id; ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3821-7726; Phone: (+62) 85641344016



Muhamad IMADUDDIN is a lecturer. He received his Master's degree in the field of Science Education (M.Pd.) at Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia in 2013. He also holds M.Si. on environmental science from Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia. His research focuses on science education, chemistry education, science teaching and learning, and STEM education. Affiliation: Study Program g"," (ITS of Natural Science Education, Faculty of Tarbiyah, Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kudus, Central Java Province, Indonesia.

E-mail: <u>imad@iainkudus.ac.id</u>; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3619-9985; Phone: (+62) 85747908045



Dwi Novita Warih PRAPTANINGRUM is a Teacher. She received her Master's degree in the field of AScience or Education (M.Pd.) at Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia in 2014. Her research focuses on chemistry education, science teaching, and learning strategy. Affiliation: SMA Negeri 1 Kudus, Central Java Province, Indonesia. E-mail: noviwarih@yahoo.co.id; ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3243-5191. Phone: (+62) 85747710774

rticle Error ወ



Dwi Anggraeni RISTANTI is a Teacher. She received her Bachelor's degree in the field of Chemistry Education (S.Pd.) at Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia in 1999. Her research focuses on chemistry education, science teaching, and learning strategy. Affiliation: SMA Negeri 15 Semarang, Central Java Province, Indonesia. E-mail: ummualawy@gmail.com; ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1260-3314; Phone: (+62) 81325155654

References

- Afreen, R. (2014). Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science*, 3(1), 233–236.
- Akani, O. (2015). Laboratory Teaching: Implication On Students' Achievement In Chemistry In Secondary Schools In Ebonyi State Of Nigeria. Journal of Education and Practice, 6(4), 2016–2013.
- Alkan, F., & Koçak Altundağ, C. (2015). The Role of Technology in Science Teaching Activities: Web Based Teaching Applications. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 3(2), 1–7. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.17478/JEGYS.2015213531
- Arsic, Z., & Milovanovic, B. (2016). Importance of computer technology in realization of cultural and educational tasks of preschool institutions. (IJCRSEE) International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 4(1), 9–15. https://doi.org/10.5937/ijcrsee1601009a
- Astriani, D., Hadisaputro, S., & Nurhayati, S. (2013). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Joyfull Learning Berbantuan Media Dox-card pada Materi Pokok Redoks. *Chemistry in Education*, 2(2252), 1–7.
- Aubusson, P. J., Harrison, A. G., & Ritchie, S. M. (2006a). Metaphor and Analogy: Serious thought in science education. In *Metaphor and Analogy* in Science Education (Issue June). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3830-5
- Aubusson, P. J., Harrison, A. G., & Ritchie, S. M. (2006b). Metaphor and Analogy: Serious thought in science education. In P. J. Aubusson, A. G. Harrison, & S. M. Ritchie (Eds.), *Metaphore and Analogy in Science Education* (pp. 1–10). Springer.

- Bayne, G. U., & Scantlebury, K. (2012). Using Cogenerative Dialogues to Expand and Extend Students' Learning. In *The Handbook of Educational Theories* (pp. 237–247). Information Age Publishing.
- Betoncu, O., & Ozdamli, F. (2019). The Disease of 21 st Century : Digital Disease. TEM Journal, 8(2), 598–603. https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM82-
- Brown, K. K., Gilmore, M. W., Dillihunt, M., & Minor, K. (2018). Utilizing Online Technology to Effectively Teach Chemistry in Secondary Education. *Modern Chemistry & Applications*, 06(01), 244. https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6798.1000244
- Bucat, B., & Mocerino, M. (2009). Learning at the Sub-micro Level: Structural Representations. In J. K. Gilbert & D. F. Treagust (Eds.), Multiple Representation in Chemical Education: Models & Modelling in Science Education (pp. 11–29). Springer.
- Burton, E. P., Frazier, W., Annetta, L., Lamb, R., Cheng, R., & Chmiel, M. (2011). Modeling augmented reality games with preservice preservice elementary and secondary science teachers. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 19(3), 303–329.
- Chen, C. H., Liao, C. H., Chen, Y. C., & Lee, C. F. (2011). The integration of synchronous communication technology into service learning for preservice teachers' online tutoring of middle school students. *Internet and Higher* Education, 14(1), 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.02.003
- Christianson, S. A. (1992). Emotional stress and eyewitness memory: A critical review. Psychological Bulletin, 112(2), 284–309.
- Cole, R. E. (1991). Participant Observer Research: An Activist Role. In W. F. Whyte (Ed.), *Participatory Action Research* (pp. 159–166). Sage Publications.
- Dulay, H., & Burt, M. (1977). Remarks on creativity in language acquisition. In M. Burt, H. Dulay, & M. Finocchiaro (Eds.), Viewpoints on English as a second language. Regents.
- Education.com. (2014). Technology in the Classroom : Helpful or Harmful ? https://www.education.com/magazine/article/effective-technologyteaching-child/

- Eldon, M., & Levin, M. (1991). Cogenerative Learning: Bringing Participation into Action Research. In W. F. Whyte (Ed.), *Participatory Action Research* (pp. 127–142). Newbury Park.
- Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing First- and Second-Order Barriers to Change : Strategies for Technology Integration. Educational Technology Research and Development, 47(4), 47–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02299597
- Freshney, P. A. (2016). *Periodic Table Explorer 1.9 beta*. Maximum Octopus. http://periodictableexplorer.com/pc_pte.htm
- Hadzhikoleva, S., Hadzhikolev, E., & Kasakliev, N. (2019). Using peer assessment to enhance higher order thinking skills. *TEM Journal*, 8(1), 242–247. https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM81-34
- Harris, J., Grandgenett, N., & Hofer, M. (2012). Testing an instrument using structured interviews to assess experienced teachers' TPACK. In *Book Chapters* (Book 8, Issue Book 8). W&M Publish. http://publish.wm.edu/bookchapters/8
- Harrison, A. G., & Treagust, D. F. (2006). Teaching and Learning with Analogies: Friend or Foe? In P. J. Aubusson, A. G. Harrison, & S. M. Ritchie (Eds.), *Metaphore and Analogy in Science Education* (pp. 11–24). Springer.
- Imaduddin, M. (2018). Analisis Miskonsepsi Submikroskopik Konsep Larutan pada Calon Guru Kimia. Edu Sains: Jurnal Pendidikan Sains & Matematika, 6(2), 1. https://doi.org/10.23971/eds.v6i2.983
- Imaduddin, M., & Haryani, S. (2019). Lembar Kerja Directed Activities Related to Texts (DARTs) Bermuatan Multipel Level Representasi untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Calon Guru Kimia. Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia, 13(1), 2254–2267.
- Jasmina Delceva. (2014). Classroom Management. (IJCRSEE) International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 2(1), 51–56.
- Johnson, A. M., Jacovina, M. E., Russell, D. G., & Soto, C. M. (2016). Challenges and solutions when using technologies in the classroom. In S. A. Crossley & D. S. McNamara (Eds.), *Adaptive Educational Technologies* for Literacy Instruction (pp. 13–29). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315647500

Cogenerative Dialogue...

- Keith-Lucas, L. (2000). Crocodile Chemistry (Crocodile Clips, Ltd.). Journal of Chemical Education, 77(10), 1284. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed077p1284
- Kincheloe, J. L. (1998). Critical research in science education. In B. J. Fraser & K. Tobin (Eds.), *International handbook of science education* (pp. 1191– 1205). Kluwer.
- Kohn, A. (2004). Feel-bad education: The cult of rigor and the loss of joy. *Education Week*, 24(3), 36–44.
- Krashen, S. (1982). Theory versus practice in language training. In R. W. Blair (Ed.), *Innovative approaches to language teaching* (pp. 25–27). Newbury House.
- Makovec, D. (2018). The teacher's role and professional development. (IJCRSEE) International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science Engineering and Education, 6(2), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.5937/ijcrsee1802033m
- Martin, S. (2006). Where practice and theory intersect in the chemistry classroom: Using cogenerative dialogue to identify the critical point in science education. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 1(4), 693–720. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-006-9031-z
- Mcglynn-stewart, M. (2015). From student to beginning teacher : Learning strengths and teaching challenges. *Cogent Education*, 2(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2015.1053182
- Niess, M. L., Ronau, R. N., Shafer, K. G., Driskell, S. O., Harper, S. R., Johnston, C., Browning, C., Özgün-Koca, S. A., & Kersaint, G. (2009). Mathematics Teacher TPACK Standards and Development Model. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 4–24.
- Nissen, M. (1998). Theory and practice: Happy marriage or passionate love affair? Nordiske Udkast, 26(2), 79–84.
- Obradović, B. P. (2013). Pedagogical practice way of connecting pedagogical theory and practice. (IJCRSEE) International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 1(2), 152–163. http://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=4909354&info=resu men&idioma=ENG
- Olofson, M. W., Swallow, M. J. C., & Neumann, M. D. (2016). TPACKing: A constructivist framing of TPACK to analyze teachers' construction of

knowledge. In *Computers and Education* (Vol. 95). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.12.010

- Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Glazewski, K. D., Newby, T. J., & Ertmer, P. A. (2010). Teacher value beliefs associated with using technology: Addressing professional and student needs. *Computers and Education*, 55(3), 1321–1335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.06.002
- Pangestika, R. R., Wijayati, N., & Widodo, A. T. (2017). Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Siswa melalui Pendekatan Joyfull Learning pada Pembelajaran Kimia. Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia, 11(1), 1849–1861.
- Plata, D. H., & Muñoz, J. C. (2017). RApp Chemistry. https://abstracts.societyforscience.org/Home/PrintPdf/5093
- Price, S., Davies, P., Farr, W., Jewitt, C., Roussos, G., & Sin, G. (2014). Fostering geospatial thinking in science education through a customisable smartphone application. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 45(1), 160–170.
- Putranta, H., & Jumadi. (2019). Physics teacher efforts of Islamic high school in Yogyakarta to minimize students' anxiety when facing the assessment of physics learning outcomes. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(2), 119–136. https://doi.org/10.17478/JEGYS.552091
- Ricoeur, P., & Kearney, R. (2007). From Text to Action: Essays in Hermeneutics, Ii. Northwestern University Press.
- Rizov, T., & Rizova, E. (2015). Augmented Reality As a Teaching Tool in Higher Education. International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 3(1), 7–16.
- Roth, W., & Tobin, K. (2001). The Implications of Coteaching/Cogenerative Dialogue for Teacher Evaluation : Learning from Multiple Perspectives of Everyday Practice. *Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education*, 15(1), 7–29.
- Salve, S., Khapare, A., & Barve, R. (2017). Chemistry in Augmented Reality. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 6(3), 645–647. https://doi.org/10.17148/IJARCCE.2017.63151

Scantlebury, K., Gallo-Fox, J., & Wassell, B. (2008). Coteaching as a model

for preservice secondary science teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(4), 967–981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2007.10.008

- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those Who Understand: Knowledge Growth in Teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4–14. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and Teaching: Foundations of the New Reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411
- Soysal, F., Çallı, B. A., & Coşkun, E. (2019). Intra and Intergenerational Digital Divide through ICT Literacy, Information Acquisition Skills, and Internet Utilization Purposes : An Analysis of Gen Z. TEM Journal, 8(1), 264–274. https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM81-37
- Stith, I., & Roth, W.-M. (2008). Students in action: Cogenerative dialogue from secondary to elementary schools. Sense Publisher.
- Sung, B. (2007). Ways of Support for Beginning Teacher Induction in Elementary School. *Journal of Fisheries and Marine Sciences Education*, 19(2), 310–322.
- Szeto, E., & Cheng, A. Y. N. (2017). Pedagogies Across Subjects: What Are Preservice Teachers' TPACK Patterns of Integrating Technology in Practice? *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 0(0), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633116667370
- Szeto, E., Cheng, A. Y. N., & Hong, J. C. (2015). Learning with Social Media: How do Preservice Teachers Integrate YouTube and Social Media in Teaching? *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 25(1), 35–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-015-0230-9
- Tobin, Kenneth. (2006). Learning to teach through coteaching and cogenerative dialogue. *Teaching Education*, 17(2), 133–142. https://doi.org/10.1080/10476210600680358
- Tobin, Kenneth. (2007). The culture of science education. In K. Tobin & W.-M. Roth (Eds.), The changing faces of research in science education: A personal journey. Sense Publisher.
- Tobin, Kenneth. (2014). Twenty Questions about Cogenerative Dialogues. In Kenneth Tobin & A. Shady (Eds.), *Transforming Urban Education:*

Urban Teachers and Students Working Collaboratively (pp. 181–190). Sense Publishers. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-563-2

- Tobin, Kenneth, Zurbano, R., Ford, A., & Carambo, C. (2003). Learning to teach through coteaching and cogenerative dialogue. *Cybernetics & Human Knowing*, 10(2), 51–73.
- Tømte, C., Enochsson, A. B., Buskqvist, U., & Kårstein, A. (2015). Educating online student teachers to master professional digital competence: The TPACK-framework goes online. *Computers & Education*, 84, 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.01.005
- Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Pareja Roblin, N., Tondeur, J., & van Braak, J. (2012). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A review of the literature. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 29(2), 109–121. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2012.00487.x
- Xhemajli, A. (2016). The Role of The Teacher in Interactive Teaching. (IJCRSEE) International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 4(1), 31–38. https://doi.org/10.5937/IJCRSEE1601031X

cogenerative dialogue

ORIGINALITY REPORT

| ORIGIN | ALITY REPORT | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| | 3% RITY INDEX | 12% INTERNET SOURCES | 11% PUBLICATIONS | 14% STUDENT PAPERS |
| PRIMAR | Y SOURCES | | | |
| 1 | Submitted Student Paper | to IAIN Kudus | | 6% |
| 2 | files.eric.e | d.gov | | 2% |
| 3 | Maureen I constructiv teachers' | /lark W., Meredi D. Neumann. "T vist framing of T construction of I s & Education, 2 | PACKing: A PACK to analy knowledge", | ۷% |
| 4 | www.educ | c.uvic.ca | | 1% |
| 5 | journals.sa | agepub.com | | 1% |
| 6 | Wassell. " secondary | cantlebury, Jen Coteaching as a v science teache and Teacher Ec | a model for pre er education", | 0/0 |
| 7 | icaseonlin | e.net | | 1% |
| 8 | ijarcce.cor | n | | 1% |
| 9 | Submitted Student Paper | to Ohio Univer | sity | 1% |
| 10 | mafiadoc. | com | | 1% |

| 11 | Submitted to Deakin University Student Paper | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
| 12 | Submitted to Argosy University Student Paper | <1% |
| 13 | Kenneth Tobin. "Learning to Teach Through Coteaching and Cogenerative Dialogue", Teaching Education, 6/1/2006 Publication | <1% |
| 14 | www.umm.ac.id Internet Source | <1% |
| 15 | Submitted to University of Bahrain Student Paper | <1% |
| 16 | Danijela Makovec. "The teacher's role and professional development", International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science Engineering and Education, 2018 Publication | <1% |
| 17 | walshpr.com Internet Source | <1% |
| 18 | www.ifets.info Internet Source | <1% |
| 19 | "Coteaching in International Contexts", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2010 Publication | <1% |
| 20 | Submitted to University of Liverpool Student Paper | <1% |
| 21 | "Metaphor and Analogy in Science Education", Springer Nature, 2006 Publication | <1% |
| 22 | Elson Szeto, Annie Yan Ni Cheng. "Pedagogies Across Subjects", Journal of Educational Computing Research, 2016 | <1% |

| 23 | www.editlib.org | < 1 % |
|----|--|--------------|
| 24 | link.springer.com | <1% |
| 25 | Yuli Rahmawati, Rekha Koul, Darrell Fisher. "Teacher–student dialogue: transforming teacher interpersonal behaviour and pedagogical praxis through co-teaching and co- generative dialogue", Learning Environments Research, 2015 Publication | <1% |
| 26 | eprints.qut.edu.au Internet Source | < 1 % |
| 27 | advancednotes.blogspot.com | < 1 % |
| 28 | "Transforming Urban Education", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014 Publication | < 1 % |
| 29 | www.education.udel.edu | < 1 % |
| 30 | Dana Uerz, Monique Volman, Marijke Kral. "Teacher educators' competences in fostering student teachers' proficiency in teaching and learning with technology: An overview of relevant research literature", Teaching and Teacher Education, 2018 Publication | < 1 % |
| 31 | www.omicsonline.org | <1% |
| 32 | WWW.ijcrsee.com Internet Source | <1% |
| | | |

"International Handbook of Research in History,

| 33 | Philosophy and Science Teaching", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014 Publication | <1% |
|-----------------|--|----------------|
| 34 | wipeoutinc.us Internet Source | <1% |
| 35 | "Second Handbook of Information Technology in Primary and Secondary Education", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 Publication | <1% |
| 36 | www.iier.org.au Internet Source | <1 % |
| 37 | www.tci-thaijo.org | <1% |
| 38 | uknowledge.uky.edu Internet Source | <1% |
| <mark>39</mark> | Submitted to Istanbul Aydin University Student Paper | <1% |
| 40 | jegys.org Internet Source | <1% |
| 41 | Wolff-Michael Roth. "Redesigning an "Urban" Teacher Education Program: An Activity Theory Perspective", Mind Culture and Activity, 05/01/2002 Publication | <1% |
| 42 | Felix Schultze, Pernilla Nilsson. "Coteaching with senior students – a way to refine teachers' PCK for teaching chemical bonding in upper secondary school", International Journal of Science Education, 2018 Publication | <1% |
| 43 | Submitted to ALC College Student Paper | <1% |
| | aa-rf.org | |

Internet Source

| 44 | Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
| 45 | Submitted to North Lindsey College Student Paper | <1% |
| 46 | es.scribd.com Internet Source | <1% |
| 47 | www.slideshare.net | <1% |
| 48 | Submitted to University College London Student Paper | <1% |
| 49 | eprints.utas.edu.au Internet Source | <1% |
| 50 | aera.net Internet Source | <1% |
| 51 | Submitted to Kibabii University Student Paper | <1% |
| 52 | repository.usfca.edu Internet Source | <1% |
| 53 | Submitted to Grand Canyon University Student Paper | <1% |
| 54 | Submitted to University of Mary Student Paper | <1% |
| | | |

| Exclude quotes | On | Exclude matches | Off |
|----------------------|----|-----------------|-----|
| Exclude bibliography | On | | |

cogenerative dialogue

| PAGE 1 | |
|--------|---|
| ETS, | Wrong Article You may have used the wrong article or pronoun. Proofread the sentence to make sure that the article or pronoun agrees with the word it describes. |
| ETS | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS | Article Error You may need to remove this article. |
| ETS | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi |
| ETS | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. |
| ETS | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi |
| ETS | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS) | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi |
| ETS | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS) | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. |
| ETS) | Frag. This sentence may be a fragment or may have incorrect punctuation. Proofread the sentence be sure that it has correct punctuation and that it has an independent clause with a complete subject and predicate. |
| ETS) | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | Frag. This sentence may be a fragment or may have incorrect punctuation. Proofread the sentence be sure that it has correct punctuation and that it has an independent clause with a complete subject and predicate. |
| ETS | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | Frag. This sentence may be a fragment or may have incorrect punctuation. Proofread the sentence be sure that it has correct punctuation and that it has an independent clause with a complete subject and predicate. |
| ETS | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| (ETS) | Frag. This sentence may be a fragment or may have incorrect punctuation. Proofread the sentence be sure that it has correct punctuation and that it has an independent clause with a complete subject and predicate. |
| ETS? | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| PAGE 2 | |
| ETS) | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article the. |

P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi

(ETS)

Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks.

(ETS) P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi

PAGE 3

- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi
- (ETS) Article Error You may need to use an article before this word.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Article Error You may need to remove this article.
- (ETS) Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi
- PAGE 4
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- (ETS) Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks.
- (ETS) Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article the.
- (ETS) Article Error You may need to remove this article.
- (ETS) Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks.
- **ETS Sp.** This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- **ETS**) Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks.



Article Error You may need to remove this article.

Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



S/V This subject and verb may not agree. Proofread the sentence to make sure the subject agrees v the verb.

ETS Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

PAGE 5

ETS

- (ETS) **Sp.** This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- ETS **Sp.** This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- ETS Article Error You may need to use an article before this word.
- **Confused** You have used either an imprecise word or an incorrect word. ETS

Frag. This sentence may be a fragment or may have incorrect punctuation. Proofread the sentence be sure that it has correct punctuation and that it has an independent clause with a complete subject and predicate.

- (ETS Article Error You may need to remove this article.
- ETS **Sp.** This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- ETS P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi
- (ETS) **P/V** You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active vol
- (ETS **Confused** You have used either an imprecise word or an incorrect word.

PAGE 6

- (ETS) Article Error You may need to remove this article.
- ETS **Sp.** This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.
- ETS Article Error You may need to use an article before this word.
- ETS) **Sp.** This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.

PAGE 7

- P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi (ETS)
- **Proofread** This part of the sentence contains an error or misspelling that makes your meaning ETS unclear.
- **Proofread** This part of the sentence contains an error or misspelling that makes your meaning ET unclear.





P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voice.



P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi

PAGE 10

| PAGE 10 | |
|---------|---|
| ETS. | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi |
| ETS) | S/V This subject and verb may not agree. Proofread the sentence to make sure the subject agrees v the verb. |
| ETS, | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active vol |
| PAGE 11 | |
| ETS, | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active vol |
| PAGE 12 | |
| ETS, | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. |
| ETS, | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi |
| ETS, | Run-on This sentence may be a run-on sentence. |
| PAGE 13 | |
| ETS, | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active vol |
| PAGE 14 | |
| ETS, | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voi |
| ETS | Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks. |
| ETS | P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active vol |
| ETS, | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. |
| PAGE 15 | |
| ETS | Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work. |
| ETS, | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. |



| | to be sure that it has correct punctuation and that it has an independent clause with a complete subject and predicate. |
|---------|---|
| ETS) | Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks. |
| ETS) | Missing "," Review the rules for using punctuation marks. |
| ETS, | Article Error You may need to remove this article. |
| ETS, | Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. |
| PAGE 20 | |
| ETS, | Article Error You may need to remove this article. |
| PAGE 21 | |
| PAGE 22 | |
| PAGE 23 | |
| PAGE 24 | |
| PAGE 25 | |
| | |