# LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU *PEER REVIEW*

	KARYA ILM					
Judul Karya Ilmiah (Paper)	: The Implementa Language Teachi			and Stude	ents' R	esponses
Jumlah Penulis	: 3 orang (1. Riana	Eka Budiastuti	; 2. Muhimatul	Ifadah; 3.	Siti Ain	nah)
Status Pengusul	: penulis pertama/p	enulis ke .3/p	e <del>nulis korespo</del> r	ndensi **		
	: a. Judul Prosiding  ISBN / ISSN c. Thn Terbit, tem d. Penerbit / Organ e. Alamat reposito http://lib.unnes.a http://jurnal.uni f. Terindek di (jika	npat pelaksanaa niser ry PT/web ac.id/23583/1/Is mus.ac.id/inder	:2nd ISET Seminar on E : 978-602-745 n: 25 Mei 2016 : Post-Gradua University : SET 2016.pdf	Proseedi ducational 64-1-9 6, di Sema ate Progra	Techno rang m, Sem	arang Sta
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat)	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Intern				
Kategori Publikasi Makalah : [ (beri √pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> :	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Interna n Ilmiah Nasion	nal	MILE . ! A N. II	· V	Discoulable
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> : Komponen	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Interna n Ilmiah Nasion Nilai Maksin	nal mal Prosiding			Diperoleh pertis
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> :	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Interna n Ilmiah Nasion	nal	Nilai Akl Review PTS		Diperoleh pertis Tim Validasi
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> : Komponen	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Interna n Ilmiah Nasion Nilai Maksin	nal mal Prosiding	Review	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> : Komponen Yang Dinilai	Prosiding Forum Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Internan Ilmiah Nasion Nilai Maksin Internasional	nal mal Prosiding	Review PTS	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) ☐ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> :  Komponen Yang Dinilai  a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah (	Prosiding Forum Prosiding Forum (10%)	n Ilmiah Internan Ilmiah Nasion  Nilai Maksin  Internasional	nal mal Prosiding	Review PTS	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat)  Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:  Komponen Yang Dinilai  a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah ( b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman p	Prosiding Forum Prosiding Forum (10%) pembahasan (30%) data/informasi dan	Nilai Maksin Internasional  1,5  4,5	nal mal Prosiding	Review PTS 1/3 4/3	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri V pada kategori yang tepat)  Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:  Komponen Yang Dinilai  a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah ( b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman p c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran metodologi (30%)	Prosiding Forum Prosiding Forum (10%) pembahasan (30%) data/informasi dan	Nilai Maksin Internasional  1,5  4,5  4,5	nal mal Prosiding	Review PTS  1/3  4/3  4/4	Ko Tim	pertis Tim

	Semarang, 4 Oktober	r 2016
Catatan Penilaian artikel oleh reviewer:		

Reviewer 1

(Drs. Wiyaka, M.Pd) NIDN/NIP: 0026126401/196412261990031002

Unit kerja: Upgris

\*dinilai oleh dua Reviewer secara terpisah \*\*coret yang tidak perlu

### LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH: PROSIDING

	MANIAILI		AND IN CO			
Judul Karya Ilmiah (Paper)	: The Implement Language Teach	ation of Peer ing Method Cla	Assessment	and Stud	ents' R	esponses
Jumlah Penulis	: 3 orang (1. Riana	Eka Budiastut	i; 2. Muhimatul	Ifadah; 3.	Siti Ain	ah)
Status Pengusul	: penulis pertama/p					
	: a. Judul Prosiding	3	: 2nd ISET Seminar on	Education		nternatio ology)
D.	. ISBN / ISSN c. Thn Terbit, ten d. Penerbit / Organ		: 978-602-74: an: 25 Mei 201 : Post-Gradua University	6, di Sema		arang Sta
	e. Alamat reposito http://lib.unnes. http://jurnal.uni f. Terindek di (jika	ac.id/23583/1/I imus.ac.id/inde	: SET 2016.pdf	2010/issue/	view/82	4/showTo
Kategori Publikasi Makalah :	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Intern				
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat)	Prosiding Forum	n Ilmiah Nasio	nal			
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> :		T	nal mal Prosiding	Nilai Akl	nir Yang	Diperoleh
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> : Komponen		T	mal Prosiding			
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> :		Nilai Maksi		Nilai Akl Review PTS		pertis Tim
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> : Komponen	Prosiding Forus	Nilai Maksi	mal Prosiding	Review	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) ☐ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> : Komponen Yang Dinilai	Prosiding Forum	Nilai Maksii Internasional	mal Prosiding	Review PTS	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri √pada kategori yang tepat) [ Hasil Penilaian <i>Peer Review</i> :  Komponen Yang Dinilai  a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah (	Prosiding Forum (10%) Dembahasan (30%)	Nilai Maksii Internasional	mal Prosiding	Review PTS	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri ✓ pada kategori yang tepat)  Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:  Komponen Yang Dinilai  a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah ( b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman p	Prosiding Forum (10%) pembahasan (30%) data/informasi dan	Nilai Maksii Internasional 1,5 4,5	mal Prosiding	Review PTS	Ko Tim	pertis Tim
(beri V pada kategori yang tepat)  Hasil Penilaian Peer Review:  Komponen Yang Dinilai  a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah ( b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman p c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran metodologi (30%)	Prosiding Forum (10%) Dembahasan (30%) data/informasi dan Expenerbit (30%)	Nilai Maksi Internasional 1,5 4,5	mal Prosiding	Review PTS 1/4 4,4 4,4	Ko Tim	

Semarang, 4 Oktober 2016 Reviewer 2

(Dias Andris Susanto, S.Pd, M.Pd) NIDN/NIP: 0114127906/097901226 Unit kerja : Upgris

\*dinilai oleh dua Reviewer secara terpisah

\*\*coret yang tidak perlu

### LEMBAR HASIL PENILAIAN SEJAWAT SEBIDANG ATAU PEER REVIEW KARYA ILMIAH: PROSIDING

Seminar on Educational Technol.  b. ISBN / ISSN : 978-602-74564-1-9 c. Thn Terbit, tempat pelaksanaan: 25 Mei 2016, di Semarang d. Penerbit / Organiser : Post-Graduate Program, Sen University e. Alamat repository PT/web : http://lib.unnes.ac.id/23583/1/ISET_2016.pdf http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8. f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Categori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional beri / pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  Internasional Nasional Review K	(Internation of the control of the c		
dentitas Prosiding : a. Judul Prosiding : 2nd ISET Proseedings  Seminar on Educational Tech  b. ISBN / ISSN : 978-602-74564-1-9  c. Thn Terbit, tempat pelaksanaan: 25 Mei 2016, di Semarang  d. Penerbit / Organiser : Post-Graduate Program, Sen  University  e. Alamat repository PT/web : <a href="http://lib.unnes.ac.id/23583/1/ISET_2016.pdf">http://lib.unnes.ac.id/23583/1/ISET_2016.pdf</a> <a href="http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8.ft">http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8.ft</a> f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Kategori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional  beri / pada kategori yang tepat)	nology) narang Si		
Seminar on Educational Technol.  b. ISBN / ISSN : 978-602-74564-1-9 c. Thn Terbit, tempat pelaksanaan: 25 Mei 2016, di Semarang d. Penerbit / Organiser : Post-Graduate Program, Sen University e. Alamat repository PT/web : http://lib.unnes.ac.id/23583/1/ISET_2016.pdf http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8. f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Categori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional beri / pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  Iasil Penilaian Peer Review :  Nilai Maksimal Prosiding Nilai Akhir Yang Internasional Nasional Review K	nology) narang Si		
c. Thn Terbit, tempat pelaksanaan: 25 Mei 2016, di Semarang d. Penerbit / Organiser : Post-Graduate Program, Sen University e. Alamat repository PT/web : http://lib.unnes.ac.id/23583/1/ISET_2016.pdf http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8. f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Kategori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional beri / pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  [asil Penilaian Peer Review :    Nilai Maksimal Prosiding   Nilai Akhir Yang Internasional   Nasional   Review   K	S		
d. Penerbit / Organiser : Post-Graduate Program, Sen University  e. Alamat repository PT/web :	S		
University  e. Alamat repository PT/web :	S		
http://lib.unnes.ac.id/23583/1/ISET_2016.pdf http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8.  f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Kategori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional beri / pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  fasil Penilaian Peer Review :    Nilai Maksimal Prosiding   Nilai Akhir Yang	24/showT		
http://jurnal.unimus.ac.id/index.php/psn12012010/issue/view/8.  f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Categori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional beri / pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  [asil Penilaian Peer Review :    Nilai Maksimal Prosiding   Nilai Akhir Yang Marana Ponilaia   Nasional   Nasional   Review   Kang Dinilai   Nasional   Nasional   Nasional   Review   Kang Dinilai   Nasional   Nasional   Review   Kang Dinilai   Nasional   Nasi	24/showT		
f. Terindek di (jika ada) :  Categori Publikasi Makalah : Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Internasional beri / pada kategori yang tepat) Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  (asil Penilaian Peer Review :    Nilai Maksimal Prosiding   Nilai Akhir Yang   Komponen			
beri 🗸 pada kategori yang tepat) 🔲 Prosiding Forum Ilmiah Nasional  [asil Penilaian Peer Review :    Nilai Maksimal Prosiding   Nilai Akhir Yang			
Komponen  Komponen  Vang Dinilai  Internasional Nasional Review K			
Komponen Vang Dinilai Internasional Nasional Review K	Nilai Akhir Yang Diperole		
	Konertis		
Tim PTS PAK	Tim Valida		
a. Kelengkapan unsur isi makalah (10%) 1,5 1/35			
b. Ruang lingkup dan kedalaman pembahasan (30%) 4,5 4/35			
c. Kecukupan dan kemutahiran data/informasi dan metodologi (30%) 4,5			
d. Kelengkapan unsur dan kualitas penerbit (30%) 4,5 4/4			
Total = (100%) 15 14.5			
Nilai Pengusul 20% x   4,5= 2,9   2,9			

(Dias Andris Susanto, S.Pd, M.Pd) NIDN/NIP: 0114127906/097901226

Unit kerja : Upgris

(Drs. Wiyaka, M.Pd) NIDN/NIP: 0026126401/196412261990031002

Unit kerja: Upgris

\*dinilai oleh dua Reviewer secara terpisah \*\*coret yang tidak perlu

DOCUMENT

ISET\_PEER
ASSESSMENT\_RIANA,
IFA, AIMA

**100** of 100

ISSUES FOUND IN THIS TEXT

0

**SCORE** 

**PLAGIARISM** 

1%

Contextual Spelling Checking disabled

**Grammar** Checking disabled

**Punctuation** Checking disabled

Sentence Structure Checking disabled

**Style** Checking disabled

Vocabulary enhancement Checking disabled

## ISET\_PEER ASSESSMENT\_RIANA, IFA, AIMA

301

The Implementation of Peer Assessment and Students' Responses in Language Teaching Method Class

Riana Eka Budiastusti1,a), Muhimatul Ifadah2, b), Siti Aimah 3, c)

1,2,3 Faculty of Foreign Language and Culture, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang

a) Corresponding author: riana@unimus.ac.idb)muhimatul@unimus.ac.id c)siti.aimah@unimus.ac.id

Abstract. Being professional language teacher is principally about how teacher could understand and use the language orally and written well. Besides, professional teacher must also provide good assessment for their students. This study aimed to (1) measure to what extent does the implementation of peer assessment in Language Teaching Method (LTM) subject improve students' performance; (2) identify problems appear in the implementation of peer assessment; (3) elaborate students' response upon implementing peer assessment. This study employed descriptive experiment design conducted in the 6th semester of 14 students of English Department, Unimus. The result showed that students' performance upon the implementation of peer assessment in LTM subject increased significantly. There were several things to be underlined in this study, such as the high subjectivity in the process of assessing and the tendency of their

unwillingness to be criticized. Therefore, generally students are encouraged and enthusiast in the learning process.

### INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, student-centered learning becomes the most popular paradigm in education field. It could be clearly observed by the emergence of new methods focusing on students. Say, Collaborative learning, lesson study and so on that are lately being so familiar in our daily lives as teacher. It enables students to actively engage in the 1 process of learning. Lecturer as the facilitator play important role to support and stimulate students to optimize all the existing learning resources. In other word, it is simply stated that lecturer is not the only source of learning who enter the class room to explain anything. The development of the technology in education include the innovative learning method would support the invention of various evaluation tool, such as assessment tool. A good assessment could comprehensively evaluate the learning process. English education of Unimus as the institution which "create" English teacher, surely provide its students to sufficient skills of language skills and aspects, as well as designing the assessment. Writers interviewed the Language Teaching method lecturer and found that this subject emphasize more on the learning process and the assessment. This subject also applied peer assessment. Assessment is the general term which covers all methods to measure students' achievement by assessing someone or group performance. (Mimin Haryati: 2007). Assessment is usually conducted by lecturer to assess their students. Peer assessment is one type of innovative assessment (Mowl: 1996; McDowell and Mowl: 1996) which aims to improve the learning quality and encourage

Unoriginal text: 8 words
www.sacla.org.za/SACLA2006/Paper...

the students. While according to Yosie Dwetasari (2011), peer assessment is the model of assessment based on the SCL principle.

This study tried to answer this following questions: (1)
How to measure to what extent does the implementation of peer assessment in Language Teaching Method (LTM) subject improve students' performance; (2) What are problems appear in the implementation of peer assessment; (3) What are students' response upon implementing peer assessment.

#### METHOD OF THE STUDY

This was Classroom Action Research which aimed to improve learning process of LTM subject. The writer applied the theory of Kemmis and Taggart. This study was conducted in two cycles, where each of the cycle consisted of planning, acting, observing and reflecting process. The study also engaged 5th semester students of English Education Department of Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang in Language Teaching Method class.

In analyzing the data, there was several procedure to be accomplished, such as: (a) collecting students' score based on the assessment rubric, (b) analyzing the result of questionnaire, (c) analyzing the observation field.

### **FINDING**

Initial condition of the students

In the Language Teaching Method class, it can be observed that the students' performance is still low. That is why lecturer must encourage the students to be confident in expressing their idea in format of public speaking. In this case, performing the material, see to what extents do they understand about the material being taught, in front of

their friends.

First cycle

Peer assessment method

Percentage

Information

Discussion and determine the LO

66.67%

failed

Explanation and elaboration

80.00%

succeed

Friend assessment

60.00%

failed

Giving feedback

60.00%

failed

65.33%

failed

It can be observed that the implementation of peer assessment was not successfully conducted by both students and lecturers. It could be identified by the percentage of achievement was less than 80%. From the observation, students' responses towards the implementation were not positive at all. At least 50% students were not really enjoying the peer review. Besides only 52% students were motivated. This would lead the writer to design the second cycle.

Cycle 2

Peer assessment method

Percentage

Information

Discussion and determine the LO

80.00%

succeed

Explanation and elaboration

85.00%

succeed

Friend assessment

80.00%

succeed

Giving feedback

85.00%

succeed

82.5%

succeed

In the second cycle, students performance increased and students' responses also positive. It could be observed by the 75% students find it enjoyable having peer review and 70% students were a lot motivated now.

### **CONCLUSION**

From the study, it can be concluded that 2 the implementation of peer review was succeed in 5th semester students of English Education Department of Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang in learning Language Teaching Method. However, there were needed standardized measurements to limit the subjectivity. Besides, students must be encouraged to be open in all critique and feedback that may not always enjoyable.

<sup>2</sup> Unoriginal text: 8 words