

Manuscript ID: PMED-47583

**Response to Production Team**

Dear, Production Team

Following some information, we confirmed several things:

1. We confirm that all figures, photos, and tables are our original work, and that no permissions are required
2. We have to confirm that this research “TNF- $\alpha$  Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (*Mus musculus*) Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment” did not receive funding from the NIH.

Sincerely

Author's team

**Manuscript ID: PMED-47583**  
**Response to Reviewers**

Dear, Editor Satoshi Hamaguchi

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to submit a revised draft of the manuscript “*TNF- $\alpha$  Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (*Mus musculus*) Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment*”. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers dedicated to providing feedback on our manuscript and are grateful for the insightful comments on and valuable improvements to our paper.

We have incorporated most of the suggestions made by the reviewer. Those changes are marked in red within the manuscript. Please see below, in blue, for a point-by-point response to the reviewers’ comments and concerns. All page numbers refer to the revised manuscript file with tracked changes.

**Reviewer ' Comments to the Authors**

- Comment 1: *I think the authors should describe the details of the plasma treatment methods in “2.5. Plasma Jet Treatment on Wound”. For example, how long (time and distance) did they treat the wound area with plasma?*

Response: Thank you for pointing this out. We have added complete information about how treatment of plasma jet on wound in **2.5. Plasma Jet Treatment on Wound** [Line 15 in page 4]. Moreover, we have added images to provide a clearer illustration in Figure 1 [page 6].

- Comment 2: *I think the authors should describe the details in the Figure legends.*

Response: Yes, we have added the figure legends for Figure 2 [page 7], Figure 3 [page 8], Figure 4 [page 9], and Figure 5 [page 10].

- Comment 3: *The authors sometimes count the day from Day -3 (Figure 1), while they count the day from Day 0 in Figure 2. This is very confusing, and I think they should count the day from Day 0. I also think they should use not “D0, D1, …”, but “Day 0, Day 1, …”*

Response: We agree with the reviewer’s suggestion. We have count the day from Day 0 until Day 17, and we have replaced the word “D0, D1” to “Day 1 until Day 17” on a whole manuscript.

- Comment 4: *I think the authors should discuss why contact and non-contact exposure is better than contact or non-contact exposure.*

Response: Thank you for the suggestions. We have added the topic such as reviewer’s suggestion in discussions section on [Line 11-19 in page 12].

We look forward to hearing from you in due time regarding our submission and to respond to any further questions and comments you may have.

Sincerely

Author's team

1           **TNF- $\alpha$  Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (*Mus musculus*)**  
2                           **Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment**

3  
4       Sri Darmawati<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Defi Nurul Hayati<sup>1,2</sup>, Mudyawati Kamarudin<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Gela Setya Ayu Putri<sup>2,4</sup>

5  
6       <sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia

7       <sup>2</sup>Interdisciplinary Research Laboratory for Experimental Plasma Medicine (iPlasmed), Universitas  
8       Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia

9       <sup>3</sup>Muhammadiyah Research Network for Plasma Medicine (M-Plasmed), Semarang, Indonesia  
10       Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Muhammadiyah University, Indonesia

11       <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Nursing and Health Faculty,  
12       Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia

13  
14  
15  
16       **Corresponding author**

17       Dr. Sri Darmawati, M.Si

18       Department of Clinical Laboratory Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia

19       Address: Jl. Kedungmundu Raya No.18, Semarang, 50273, Central Java, Indonesia

20       Telephone: +62-24-76740296 Ext. 1102, Fax: +62-24-76740291

21       Email: ciciekdarma@unimus.ac.id  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## Abstract

Chronic wounds develop as a result of infection, commonly carried on by bacteria and form biofilms. MRSA is a kind of bacteria that can form biofilms. Recently, medical plasma technology has been applied to accelerate wound healing. The objective of the research was to investigate the response of cutaneous wounds in MRSA-infected animals to contact and non-contact therapy using medical plasma Argon jet-type with histopathological and molecular approaches. Argon gas, with a purity of 99.995%, is utilized as a carrier gas for generating plasma medical at a flow rate of 1 standard liter per minute (slm). This experiment was divided into 4 treatment groups, K (infected wound without plasma treatment), CP (5mm plasma treatment), NCP (20mm plasma treatment), and CP-NCP (infected wound with 5mm and 20mm plasma combination treatment). The result of the observation obtained that contact plasma from Day 3 to Day 10 can remove bacterial biofilm and that non-contact plasma treatment from D11 to D16 is effective to accelerate wound healing. At Day 17, the macroscopic biofilm area in the CP-NCP group began to decrease with an increasing percentage of re-epithelialization, and no necrotic cells were observed. TNF- $\alpha$  levels were observed significantly lower in the CP-NCP group at Day 17 compared to other groups. In conclusion, contact-non-contact (CP-NCP) treatment is suggested for the management of chronic infections since it is beneficial for removing the bacterial biofilm layer and can promote wound healing.

**Key words:** Animal model, chronic wound, plasma medicine, MRSA, combination treatment.

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2           The major cause of chronic wounds is bacterial infection, which results in the production  
3 of biofilms (1). *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is the most common pathogen bacterial detected  
4 in patients with wound infection. *Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of  
5 the clinically identified strains of *S. aureus* that has been reported to be resistant to methicillin.  
6 MRSA has been found in the skin tissue of individuals with chronic wounds. MRSA can form  
7 biofilms, which are colonies of adhered bacteria to a surface and coated in a matrix of extracellular  
8 polymeric substances (EPS) produced by bacteria (2). A wound is considered to be healed if  
9 epithelial tissue has developed across a whole wound surface. The formation of biofilm in the  
10 wound tissue inhibits the process of skin tissue re-epithelialization (3). The delayed wound healing  
11 makes skin tissue difficult to repair (4).

12           The fourth type of substance after solids, liquids, and gases is plasma. Instead of blood  
13 plasma, the plasma being discussed here is the type of plasma known as an ionized gas (5).  
14 Conceptually, the function of plasma in medicine is related to its capability to produce biological  
15 molecules including ROS and RNS (commonly abbreviated as RONS) (6) (7) (8). RONS includes  
16 superoxide ( $O_2^-$ ), hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), hydroxyl radicals (OH), singlet oxygen ( $O_2^1$ ), ozone  
17 ( $O_3$ ), organic radicals (RO,  $RO_2$ ), nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) dan peroxyxynitrite  
18 ( $ONOO^-$ ). If use correctly at the appropriate dose, RONS can be beneficial for both physical and  
19 pathophysiological health therapy (9).

20           It was determined that medical plasma has a significant role in the inactivation of bacteria  
21 by breaking the cell membrane's outer layer in the treatment of bacterial biofilms. The cell  
22 membrane ruptures due to the electrostatic forces carried on by the accumulation of charged  
23 particles on the membrane. Plasma exposure, whether direct (contact) or indirect (non-contact),

1 results in varying RONS content. Direct exposure results in plasma containing radicals with a short  
2 half-life and high reactivity, such as  $N_2^-$ ,  $O_2$ , OH dan  $N_2^+$ . In contrast, indirect exposure refers to  
3 sample exposure where sample placement is outside the plasma release area, generating radicals  
4 with relatively long lifetimes, such as OH, O,  $O_3$ , NO, and some molecules that can disperse,  
5 including  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  (10).

6 Darmawati et al (11) reported that medical plasma has the ability to eliminate wound  
7 bacteria. The combination of contact and non-contact plasma treatments was effective in removing  
8 *Staphylococcus aureus* bacterial biofilm and accelerating wound healing. The size of the wound  
9 can be used to evaluate wound healing. This parameter is critical for monitoring chronic wound  
10 healing and determining therapy effectiveness. However, in research, the efficiency of plasma  
11 therapy is evaluated using invasive histological techniques, particularly skin biopsies around  
12 wounds as specimens to analyze the physiology of wound healing, which is supported by routine  
13 staining techniques (Hematoxylin-Eosin).

14 This study is a continuation of our previous research (11), and the objective is to develop a  
15 diagnostic for medical plasma usage in the treatment of chronic wounds on a wound model infected  
16 with *Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) bacteria.

17

## 18 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 19 **2.1. Atmospheric Pressure Plasma Jet**

20 The prototype of atmospheric pressurized jet-type medical plasma technology as created  
21 by Teschke (12) with a purity of 99.995% and a flow rate of 1 slm per minute flowing through the  
22 end of the quartz tube will be used as the input gas for the plasma generator. This study refers to  
23 previous research conducted by Darmawati et al (11).

## 1 **2.2. MRSA Bacteria Preparation**

2 The bacteria strains were obtained from the Laboratory of Microbiology Department of  
3 Medical Laboratory Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia. Inoculated colony  
4 of MRSA was used to prepare bacterial suspension in a test tube containing liquid Brain Heart  
5 Infusion (BHI) medium, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The suspension was initially cultured  
6 on a Blood Agar Plate (Oxoid, UK) for 24 hours at 37°C. Next, the suspension was cultured on  
7 Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) (Oxoid, UK) for 24 hours at 37°C. The collected colonies were  
8 homogenized after being suspended in a test tube containing 3 ml of 0.85% NaCl. The turbidity of  
9 the suspension was compared to that of Mc Farland 0.5 standard solution ( $1.5 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL)  
10 (11).

## 11 **2.3. Procedure Animals and Experimental Protocol**

12 All research procedures followed animal welfare criteria and were approved by the ethical  
13 committee of the Health Research Ethics Commission (KEPK), Faculty of Public Health,  
14 University of Muhammadiyah Semarang (Certificate number: 633/KEPK-FKM/UNIMUS/2022).  
15 32 male BALB/c mice weighing 35.0-40.0 g were acquired from the Laboratory of the Faculty of  
16 Medicine, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia. Mice were individually placed in an  
17 air-conditioned room at a temperature of  $28.0 \pm 2.0^\circ\text{C}$  with a light-dark cycle, light from 08:00 to  
18 20:00, and ad libitum feeding circumstances. All experiments were conducted using ketamine-  
19 xylazine (K) 50 mg/kg + (X) 5 mg/kg anesthesia, and every effort was made to reduce pain (11).

20

## 21 **2.4. Bacteria Infected Wounds Model**

22 Bacteria infected wounds were developed by infecting MRSA bacteria in acute wounds of  
23 mice, as referred to in the study (13). Male Balb/c mice were acclimatized for one week, then a  
24 full-thickness acute wound with a diameter of 4mm was made on the dorsal skin of the mice using

1 a 4mm punch biopsy (Kai Industries Co. Ltd., Gifu, Japan). 50  $\mu$ L Bacterial suspension equivalent  
2 to Mc Farland 7 standard solution ( $21 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL) was inoculated into acute wounds of mice  
3 by spreading the suspension for 10 seconds to each wound using a sterile spatula. The wound was  
4 covered with a hydrocolloid dressing, then covered with a plaster for three days. This method  
5 created an ideal environment for the production of biofilms (11).

## 6 **2.5. Plasma Jet Treatment on Wound**

7 Mice were anesthetized with a ketamine and xylazine anesthetic solution at a ratio of (2:1)  
8 and 0.01 ml/ww mice before being wounded in the dorsal skin using a 4mm disposable punch  
9 biopsy (Day 0) (11). Plasma jet treatment began three days after the wounds were infected with  
10 bacteria (Day 3), followed by wound care consisting of changing hydrocolloid dressings  
11 (Tegaderm Hydrocolloid Dressing; 3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN) and bandages daily  
12 (Leukoplast; BNH Medical, Germany) for 14 days.

13 Figure 1 shows the experimental procedure following Day 3. During 14 days, plasma jet  
14 treatment was performed once a day for 3 minutes. Mice were randomized into four groups, with  
15 three mice or six wound samples in each group, as follows:

16 A. Control Group, bacteria-infected wounds not treated with plasma (K): Bacteria-infected  
17 wounds were allowed to heal on a daily basis by using (Tegaderm Hydrocolloid Dressing;  
18 3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN)

19 B. Contact plasma Group, bacteria-infected wounds treated with plasma contact style (CP):  
20 Bacteria-infected wounds were treated for 3 minutes with plasma jet treatment in contact  
21 style. In this setting, the distance between the nozzle of the plasma jet reactor tube and the  
22 wound surface was 5 mm. In this position, the plasma jet made visual contact with the  
23 wound surface.

1 C. Non-contact plasma Group, bacteria-infected wounds treated with plasma non-contact  
2 style (NCP): Bacteria-infected wounds were treated for 3 minutes with plasma jet treatment  
3 in non-contact style. In this setting, the distance between the nozzle of the plasma jet reactor  
4 tube and the wound surface was 20 mm. In this position, the plasma jet not made visual  
5 contact with the wound surface.

6 D. Contact and Non-contact Group, bacteria-infected wounds treated with plasma contact and  
7 non-contact style (CP-NCP): Bacteria-infected wounds were treated for 3 minutes with  
8 plasma jet treatment in contact style from Day 3 to Day 10. The following day, from Day  
9 11 to Day 16, non-contact plasma jet treatment was used for 3 minutes. In this setting, the  
10 distance between the nozzle of the plasma jet reactor tube and the wound surface was 5  
11 mm for contact style and 20 mm for non-contact style.

## 12 **2.6. Macroscopic Evaluation of Wound**

13 First, the macroscopic evaluation was performed manually, followed by a computational  
14 method based on the previously described procedure (11). This evaluation was carried out every  
15 day for 14 days. Day 3 is the day when plasma jet treatment is started. A digital camera (Lumix  
16 FH6, Panasonic, Japan) was used to record the wound conditions that were observed.

## 17 **2.7. New Epithelial and Necrosis Cell Evaluation**

18 The level of re-epithelialization and necrosis tissue of wounds was observed  
19 microscopically in each group on Day 6 (the third day after bacterial-infected wounding), Day 10  
20 (the seventh day after bacterial-infected wounding), Day 14 (the eleventh day after bacterial-  
21 infected wounding), and Day 17 (the fourteenth day after bacterial-infected wounding). The wound  
22 on skin mice tissue was collected for tissue processing to produce wound tissue sections, and each  
23 preparation was stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (HE). The percentage of re-epithelialization

1 was calculated by the formula:  $100\% \times (\text{new epithelial length/wound length between wound edges})$   
2 and the necrosis percentage was obtained using the formula:  $100\% \times (\text{cell necrosis area/wound}$   
3  $\text{length between wound edges})$  (11)

4

5 **Figure 1.** (A–D) Experiment protocol during Day 3–16

## 6 **2.8. Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) Level**

7 Mice were dissection at Day 6, Day 10, Day 14, and Day 17. Blood was drawn from the  
8 cardiac puncture for molecular evaluation using the TNF- ELISA Kit (TNF- $\alpha$  rat ELISA kit;  
9 Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Blood samples were collected and processed into serum. Take 2  
10 mL of blood, separate the supernatant, and place it in a new microtube. Curva Expert software  
11 version 1.4 was used to process the absorbance standards and samples.

## 12 **2.9. Statistical Analysis**

13 The results of macroscopic, microscopic (percentage of re-epithelialization), and TNF- $\alpha$   
14 level, were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) program  
15 evaluated by ANOVA followed by the Tukey Kramer method; P value < 0.05 was considered  
16 significant.

17

## 18 **3. Result**

### 19 **3.1. Macroscopic Evaluation of Wound**

20 Bacterial-infected wounds on mice skin were observed daily and macroscopic images  
21 of the wounds were documented using a digital camera from Day 3 to Day 17, the results are  
22 shown in **Figure 2**.

23

1                   **Figure 2.** Wound appearance on days 0, 3, 6, 10, 14, and 17  
2                   K: control group, CP: contact group, NCP: non-contact group,  
3                   CP-NCP: combination contact and non-contact group  
4  
5  
6

7                   In Day 3, the wound usually passes through an inflammatory phase, which is characterized  
8 by an expanded wound size and exudate fluid. The wound progressively enters the proliferative  
9 phase, which is characterized by the wound shrinking until Day 17. The wound area ratio decreased  
10 rapidly in the plasma treatment group than the control group.  
11  
12

13                   **Figure 3.** Ratio of wound area to initial wound area during the 14-d treatment period.

14                   From Days 5-12, the wound area of group K was significantly larger than CP, NCP, and CP-  
15 NCP. At Day 17, there was no significant difference between groups. Notes: (i)  $\alpha$  significance  
16 level of K to CP, (ii)  $\beta$  significance level of K to NCP, (iii) \* significance level of K to the CP-  
17 NCP.  
18  
19

20                   The macroscopic area data analyzed by the SPSS program showed normal and  
21 homogeneous distribution data ( $p < 0.05$ ), then the One way ANOVA test with Turkey-Kramer  
22 continued to see significant differences between groups. Based on the ratio of the wound area to  
23 the initial wound area, the results showed in Figure 3, there was an increase in the size of the  
24 wound area in the K, CP, NCP, and CP-NCP groups over the 14-day treatment period. From Day  
25 6 to Day 7, there was no significant difference between the groups. From Day 5 to Day 12 the

1 wound area in K was significantly larger than that of CP, NCP, and CP-NCP (K vs CP:  $p < 0.01$ , K  
2 vs NCP:  $p < 0.01$ , C vs CP-NCP:  $p < 0.05$ ). Day 8 to Day 14 the wound area of group K was  
3 significantly larger than that of the CP, NCP, and CP-NCP groups. (K vs CP:  $p < 0.01$ , K vs NCP:  
4  $p < 0.01$ , K vs CP-NCP:  $p < 0.05$ ).

### 5 **3.2. New epithelial and Necrosis Cell Evaluation**

6

7 **Figure 4.** Percentage of re-epithelialization and cell necrosis of wound tissue.

8 The percentage of re-epithelialization of Days 10, 14, and 17 CP-NCP was significantly  
9 higher than the other groups. Meanwhile, Necrosis cells were not observed in all groups  
10 between Day 14 and Day 17, followed by an increase in re-epithelialization.

11

12

13 Figure 4 shows that the percentage of re-epithelialization of Day 10, Day 14, and Day 17  
14 CP-NCP was significantly higher than the other groups. The NCP group D7 was significantly  
15 higher than CP and K groups (NCP vs CP:  $p < 0.01$ , C vs NCP:  $p < 0.01$ ) whereas the other groups  
16 showed no significant difference. Observations on Day 17 indicated that in the CP, NCP, and CP-  
17 NCP groups, the new epithelium had fully covered the wound. Group K had the lowest percentage  
18 of re-epithelialization, while the percentages of re-epithelialization in the CP, NCP, and CP-NCP  
19 groups were not significantly different. The percentage rate of necrosis and re-epithelialization of  
20 the wound was evaluated on Days 6, 10, 14 and 17. Necrotic cells started to form at Day 16 and  
21 increased at Day 10 in all groups K, CP, NCP, and CP-NCP. However, necrosis cells were not  
22 found in all groups from Day 14 to Day 17, at Day 14 to Day 17 necrosis cells were not found in  
23 all groups.

24

1 **3.3. Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) Level**

2 Figure 5 illustrates the TNF- $\alpha$  levels for each group. TNF- $\alpha$  levels in the CP group were  
3 significantly higher than the other groups on Day 6, while the K group was higher than the other  
4 groups on Day 10 (K vs NCP:  $p < 0.005$ , K vs CPNCP:  $p < 0.005$ , CP vs NCP:  $p < 0.005$ ). In Day 17,  
5 group K was significantly higher than the CP and NCP groups (K vs NCP:  $p < 0.005$ , K vs CPNCP:  
6  $p < 0.005$ , K vs CPNCP:  $p < 0.005$ ). On Day 17, K, CP, NCP, and CP-NCP TNF- $\alpha$  levels dropped  
7 dramatically.

8

9 **Figure 5.** TNF-levels histogram on D3, D7, D11, D14.

10 From Day 6 there was a significant difference in TNF- $\alpha$  levels between groups. On Day 10, CP  
11 group was significantly higher than the other groups. While, K, CP, NCP, and CP-NCP decreased  
12 significantly on Day 17.

13

14

15 **4. Discussion**

16 The wound area ratio reduced more rapidly in the plasma-treated group than in the control  
17 group. This finding indicates that the application of plasma can accelerate wound healing. The  
18 study's findings are in accordance with Darmawati et al (2019), which found that using medical  
19 plasma can assist in the healing process (14). The thermal impact of plasma treatment on the skin  
20 with plasma jet treatment duration and maximum temperature (T-max) for plasma-affected skin  
21 with distances of 5, 10, 15, and 20mm between the skin surface and the plasma jet reactor nozzle.  
22 This indicates that a shorter distance leads to a higher T-max. Treatment of plasma jets distanced  
23 5mm apart for 1 to 5 minutes produces T-max value between 56°C and 60°C. Treatment of plasma  
24 jets distanced 20mm apart for the same duration of time produced T-max of less than 40°C (14).

25

1           The charged particles in plasma play a key role in the inactivation of bacteria in medical  
2 plasma treatment for bacterial biofilms by breaking down the outer layer of the cell membrane.  
3 The electrostatic forces induced by the accumulating of charged particles on the cell membrane  
4 reduce stretching capability, resulting in cell membrane rupture (15). RONS content varied  
5 depending on whether plasma was treated direct (CP) or indirect (NCP). Invasive exposure  
6 resulted in plasma containing radicals with a short half-life and high reactivity, such as  $N_2^-$ ,  $O_2$ ,  
7  $OH$ , and  $N_2^+$ . Non-invasive exposure, on the other hand, describes sample exposure where the  
8 sample is placed outside the plasma release area, producing radicals with relatively long lives such  
9 as  $OH$ ,  $O$ ,  $O_3$ ,  $NO$ , and some dispersible molecules such as  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  (10). According to  
10 Nasruddin et al (2017), and Sibbald et al (2011) re-epithelialization for controls was only supported  
11 by moist conditions from dressings usage (16) (18).

12           Giving contact plasma to the wound area's surface after the biofilm layer has been removed  
13 severely impairs the wound healing process. The size of the wound area on Day 14 and D17  
14 showed no significant decrease, and the re-epithelialization rate on Day 3 was only 25%, whereas  
15 it was >65% on Day 14. According to Darmawati et al (14), contact plasma exposure has negative  
16 effects such as damage to normal skin tissue because an elevation in local temperature slows or  
17 impairs new epithelial growth (14). Medical plasma treatment caused a higher level of necrosis in  
18 the CP group (bacterial-infected wounds with a plasma exposure distance of 5mm). After the  
19 biofilm layer has been removed (Days 10-16), non-contact plasma treatment can accelerate wound  
20 re-epithelialization. The re-epithelialization rate reached 98% on Day 17. The remodeling phase is  
21 the final stage of wound healing, also known as the maturation phase. During this phase, epithelial  
22 cells cover the whole wound's edges, and the collagen fibers' structure changes microscopically  
23 (19).

1 Non-contact plasma exposure leads to the generation of radicals with relatively long  
2 lifetimes, and one of those is NO (15). According to Thana et al (20), plasma-produced NO has a  
3 stimulatory affect on wound healing and tissue regeneration. In humans, NO is a key biological  
4 signaling molecule that can drive cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and collagen formation, leading  
5 in skin repair. TNF- $\alpha$  levels above normal indicate local or systemic inflammation (21). TNF- $\alpha$  is  
6 a key cytokine involved in the acute inflammatory response to bacteria and other microorganisms.  
7 Severe infections can cause high levels of TNF- $\alpha$  to be produced, resulting in a systemic response  
8 (22).

9 The decrease in TNF- $\alpha$  levels in the CP-NCP group at Day 17 indicated that TNF- $\alpha$  levels  
10 decreased after exposure to contact and non-contact medical plasma. A variety of components of  
11 the wound healing process, according to (23) (24) follow the redox regulator. Active oxygen  
12 species (Reactive Oxygen Species / ROS) and active nitrogen species (Reactive Nitrogen Species  
13 / RNS) such as O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and NO are involved in the redox process. These active molecules are  
14 known as oxidants, and they serve as signaling messengers in biological processes. Several  
15 investigations have demonstrated that these active species play an important role in critical  
16 processes during wound healing, such as inflammation, re-epithelialization, and vascularization.

17 Plasma treatment with a combination of contact and non-contact styles is suggested for the  
18 management of chronic wounds. The basic principles of chronic wound care are (a) eliminating  
19 the source of infection, which is a biofilm, and (b) preventing the formation of biofilm without  
20 causing tissue damage or the emergence of drug resistance (16) (25). This study using plasma jets  
21 to remove biofilms, plasma creates ROS, which in high doses can damage healthy tissue and cells  
22 (including bacteria). By customizing the plasma exposure distance, the dose of ROS can be  
23 controlled. Contact plasma treatment is first given to remove biofilm and kill bacteria, followed

1 by non-contact plasma treatment to avoid the negative effects of plasma and accelerate wound  
2 healing (11).

3

#### 4 **5. Summary**

5 Medical plasma treatment with contact and non-contact exposure is an effective treatment  
6 for MRSA chronic wounds. Bacterial biofilms can be removed and wound healing can be  
7 accelerated by contact and non-contact exposure.

8

#### 9 **Acknowledgments**

10 This research was supported by the research grant program, the Ministry of Research  
11 and Technology /National Research and Innovation Agency, Republic of Indonesia. The funders  
12 had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, publication decision, or manuscript  
13 preparation.

14

15

#### 16 **Reference**

17

- 18 1. Boudarel H, Mathias JD, Blaysat B, Grédiac M. Towards standardized mechanical  
19 characterization of microbial biofilms: analysis and critical review. *NPJ Biofilms and*  
20 *Microbiomes*. 2018;4(17):1–15. doi:10.1038/s41522-018-0062-5
- 21 2. Utami SPM, Noorhamdani, Masruroh R. The Effect of Basil Leaves Ethanol Extract  
22 (*Ocimum sanctum*) in Inhibiting The Establishment of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms with  
23 In Vitro Method. *J Agromedicine Med Sci*. 2020;6(3):168–173.
- 24 3. Sayogo W. Potention of +Dalethyne against Epithelialization of Wounds in Bacterial Infected

- 1 Rat Skin. Jurnal Biosains Pascasarjana. 2017;19(1):68-84.  
2 <https://doi.org/10.20473/jbp.v19i1.2017.68-84>
- 3 4. Schierle CF, De La Garza M, Mustoe TA, Galiano RD. Staphylococcal biofilms impair wound  
4 healing by delaying reepithelialization in a murine cutaneous wound model. *Wound Repair*  
5 *Regen.* 2009;17(3):354–9. DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2009.00489.x
- 6 5. Isbary G, Morfill G, Schmidt HU, Georgi M, Ramrath K, Heinlin J, Karrer S, Landthaler M,  
7 Shimizu T, Steffes B, Bunk W, Monetti R, Zimmermann J L, Pompl R, Stolz W. A first  
8 prospective randomized controlled trial to decrease bacterial load using cold atmospheric  
9 argon plasma on chronic wounds in patients. *Br J Dermatol.* 2010;163(1):78–82. DOI:  
10 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.09744.x
- 11 6. Bekeschus S, von Woedtke T, Emmert S, Schmidt A. Medical gas plasma-stimulated wound  
12 healing: Evidence and mechanisms: Mechanisms of gas plasma-assisted wound healing.  
13 *Redox Biol.* 2021;46(July):102116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2021.102116>
- 14 7. Weltmann KD, Von Woedtke T. Plasma medicine-Current state of research and medical  
15 application. *Plasma Phys Control Fusion.* 2017;59(1):14031. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0741-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0741-3335/59/1/014031)  
16 3335/59/1/014031
- 17 8. Lu X, Naidis G V, Laroussi M, Reuter S, Graves DB, Ostrikov K. Reactive species in non-  
18 equilibrium atmospheric-pressure plasmas: Generation, transport, and biological effects. *Phys*  
19 *Rep.* 2016;630(April):1–84. DOI:10.1016/j.physrep.2016.03.003
- 20 9. Bartosz G. Reactive oxygen species: Destroyers or messengers? *Biochem Pharmacol.*  
21 2009;77(8):1303–15. DOI: 10.1016/j.bcp.2008.11.009
- 22 10. Lu X, Fridman A. Guest Editorial: Atmospheric Pressure Plasma Jets. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci.*  
23 2015;43:701–702.
- 24 11. Darmawati S, Nasruddin N, Putri GSA, Iswara A, Kurniasiw P, Wahyuningtyas ES, Nurani

- 1 LH, Hayati DN, Ishijima T, Nakatani T, Sugama J. Accelerated healing of chronic wounds  
2 under a combinatorial therapeutic regimen based on cold atmospheric plasma jet using contact  
3 and noncontact styles. *Plasma Med.*2021;11(2):1–18. DOI: 10.1615/PlasmaMed.2021039083
- 4 12. Teschke M, Kedzierski J, Finantu-Dinu EG, Korzec D, Engemann J. High-speed photographs  
5 of a dielectric barrier atmospheric pressure plasma jet. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci.* 2005;33(2  
6 I):310–1.
- 7 13. Davis SC, Ricotti C, Cazzaniga A, Welsh E, Eaglstein WH, Mertz PM. Microscopic and  
8 physiologic evidence for biofilm-associated wound colonization in vivo. *Wound Repair*  
9 *Regen.* 2008;16(1):23–9. DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2007.00303.x
- 10 14. Darmawati S, Rohmani A, Nurani LH, Prastiyanto ME, Dewi SS, Salsabila N,  
11 Wahyuningtyas ES, Murdiya F, Sikumbang IM, Rohmah RN, Fatimah YA, Widiyanto A,  
12 Ishijima T, Sugama J, Nakatani T, Nasruddin N. When plasma jet is effective for chronic  
13 wound bacteria inactivation, is it also effective for wound healing? *Clin Plasma Med.*  
14 2019;14:100085 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpme.2019.100085>
- 15 15. Gilmore BF, Flynn PB, O’Brien S, Hickok N, Freeman T, Bourke P. Cold Plasmas for Biofilm  
16 Control: Opportunities and Challenges. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2018;36(6):627–38.  
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.03.007>
- 18 16. Sibbald RG, Laurie G, Kevin YW, Diane LK, Hiske S, Gulnaz T, Elizabeth AA, Robert EB,  
19 David HK, Dieter M, Linda N, Richard SS. Special Considerations in Wound Bed Preparation  
20 2011: An Update. *Adv Skin Wound Care.* 2011;24(September):415–36. DOI:  
21 10.1097/01.ASW.0000405216.27050.97
- 22 17. Nasruddin N, Nakajima Y, Mukai K, Rahayu HSE, Nur M, Ishijima T, Enomoto H, Uesugi  
23 Y, Sugama J, Nakatani T. Cold plasma on full-thickness cutaneous wound accelerates healing  
24 through promoting inflammation, re-epithelialisation and wound contraction. *Clin Plasma*

- 1 Med. 2014;2:28–35 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpme.2014.01.001>
- 2 18. Nasruddin N, Putri IK, Kamal S, Rahayu HSE, Lutfiyanti H, Pribadi P, Kusuma TM, Muhlisin  
3 Z, Nur M, Nurani LH, Santosa B, Ishijima T, Nakatani T. Evaluation the effectiveness of  
4 combinative treatment of cold plasma jet, Indonesian honey, and micro-well dressing to  
5 accelerate wound healing. *Clin Plasma Med.* 2017;5-6:14–25.  
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpme.2017.03.001>
- 7 19. Putri GSA, Ali A, Nasruddin N. Gambaran histologi fase remodelling jaringan luka kronik  
8 kulit mencit setelah pemberian perlakuan plasma jet. *J Labora Med.* 2022;6(1):1. DOI :  
9 10.26714/jlabmed.6.1.2022.1-6
- 10 20. Thana P, Wijaikhum A, Poramapijitwat P, Kuensaen C, Meerak J, Ngamjarurojana A,  
11 Boonyawan D. A compact pulse-modulation cold air plasma jet for the inactivation of chronic  
12 wound bacteria: development and characterization. *Heliyon.* 2019;5(9):e02455  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02455>
- 14 21. Ritsu M, Ami K, Kanno E, Tanno H, Ishii K, Imai Y, Maruyama R, Tachi M. Critical role of  
15 tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  in the early process of wound healing in skin. *J Dermatology*  
16 *Dermatologic Surg.* 2017;21(1):14–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdds.2016.09.001>
- 17 22. Kusnadi A, Park SH, Yuan R, Pannellini T, Giannopoulou E, Oliver D, Lu T, Park-Min K,  
18 Ivashkiv LB. The Cytokine TNF Promotes Transcription Factor SREBP Activity and Binding  
19 to Inflammatory Genes to Activate Macrophages and Limit Tissue Repair. *Immunity.*  
20 2019;51(2):241-257.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.005>
- 21 23. Laroussi M. Plasma Medicine: A Brief Introduction. *Plasma.* 2018;1(1):47–60.  
22 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plasma1010005>
- 23 24. Sen CK, Roy S. Redox signals in wound healing. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Gen Subj.*  
24 2008;1780 (11):1348 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2008.01.006

1 25. Leaper DJ, Schultz G, Carville K, Fletcher J, Swanson T, Drake, R. Extending the TIME  
2 concept: What have we learned in the past 10 years?. *International Wound Journal*. 2012; 9  
3 (Suppy. 2): 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-481X.2012.01097.x>

16/01/2023 07:03:56	System notification	Sri Darmawati	<p><a href="#">PMED-47583. Begell House Online Submission System - confirmation</a></p> <p>Dear Sri Darmawati, This is a confirmation notice that your article "TNF-<math>\alpha</math> Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (Mus musculus) Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment" for the journal "Plasma Medicine" has been successfully submitted and sent to the Editor-in-Chief - Satoshi Hamaguchi.</p> <p>Please use the submission site to track the status of your article. The article ID is "PMED-47583".</p> <p>Begell House Online Submission.</p> <p><a href="#">Reply</a></p>
16/01/2023 07:03:55	Sri Darmawati	Satoshi Hamaguchi	<p><a href="#">Article PMED-47583. The status has been changed from 'DRAFT' to 'SUBMITTED'</a></p> <p>Dear Editor-in-Chief - Satoshi Hamaguchi.</p> <p>The status of article PMED-47583 "TNF-<math>\alpha</math> Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (Mus musculus) Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment" in journal "Plasma Medicine" has changed from DRAFT to: SUBMITTED</p> <p>The change in status of the article requires your attention.</p> <p>Please login <a href="https://submission.begellhouse.com/usr/login.html?prod_code=journals">https://submission.begellhouse.com/usr/login.html?prod_code=journals</a></p> <p>Notes from Author - Sri Darmawati: Dear Editor, I am sending herewith a manuscript entitled "TNF-<math>\alpha</math> Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (Mus musculus) Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment" by Darmawati et al., which I should like to submit for publication in the journal Plasma Medicine</p> <p>I hereby certify that this paper consists of original, unpublished work which is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. I also confirm that all figures and photos in this paper are our original work, have never been published before and that no permits are</p>

15/01/2023 23:32:50	System notification	Sri Darmawati	<p> <b>Begell House Online Submission System - new article has been created (PMED-47583)</b></p> <p>Dear Sri Darmawati,</p> <p>You have just created a new article entitled 'TNF-<math>\alpha</math> Expression and New Epithelial Thickness in Mice Skin (Mus musculus) Infected MRSA by Medical Plasma Treatment' for 'Plasma Medicine'. The article ID is PMED-47583.</p> <p>For now the article is not visible for anyone in the system but you. Please logon to the system and move the article further to make it available for other submission users:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- start from the login page - <a href="https://submission.begellhouse.com/usr/login.html?prod_code=journals">https://submission.begellhouse.com/usr/login.html?prod_code=journals</a></li><li>- open the article by clicking on its title</li><li>- choose an appropriate option on the tab "Main" to submit your article to a regular or a special issue and finish your submission.</li></ul> <p>Also, you have an option to completely delete the article from the system by choosing the option "Remove article".</p> <p>Begell House Online Submission.</p> <p><a href="#">Reply</a></p>
---------------------	---------------------	---------------	--