Fakultas Kesehatan masayarakat

63383-240510-1-PB

E Class 2025

Penelitian 2025

🗢 Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang

Document Details

Submission ID 21 Pages trn:oid:::1:3171015173 9,273 Words Submission Date Mar 3, 2025, 8:37 AM GMT+7 Download Date Mar 3, 2025, 8:39 AM GMT+7 File Name 63383-240510-1-PB.pdf

File Size

434.7 KB

44,115 Characters



14% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Exclusions

2 Excluded Sources

Match Groups

Top Sources

Internet sources

Submitted works (Student Papers)

Publications

10%

11%

3%

- 76 Not Cited or Quoted 11% Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- **91 22 Missing Quotations 3%** Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0% Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- O Cited and Quoted 0%
 Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Page 2 of 27 - Integrity Overview

Match Groups

Page 3 of 27 - Integrity Overview

🗩 turnitin

76 Not Cited or Quoted 11% 10% Internet sources Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks Publications 11% **99 22** Missing Quotations 3% 3% Matches that are still very similar to source material = 0 Missing Citation 0% Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation • 0 Cited and Quoted 0% Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1 Internet	
repository.unimus.ac.id	2%
2 Publication Chinnaperumal Kamaraj, Abdul Abdul Rahuman, Anita Mahapatra, Asokan Bagav	1%
3 Student papers Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang	1%
4 Internet meridian.allenpress.com	<1%
5 Internet www.science.gov	<1%
6 Student papers School of Business and Management ITB	<1%
7 Student papers Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya	<1%
8 Internet ipa-pasca.unpak.ac.id	<1%
9 Publication Krishnan Raguvaran, Manickam Kalpana, Thulasiraman Manimegalai, Suresh Kal	<1%
10 Internet www.ajol.info	<1%

Top Sources

Submitted works (Student Papers)



11 Internet	
files.eric.ed.gov	<1%
12 Publication	
Risyandi Anwar, Jevina Hida, Didik Sumanto, Sayono Sayono. "Evaluation of Larvic	<1%
13 Publication	
T. K. Lim. "Edible Medicinal and Non-Medicinal Plants", Springer Nature, 2016	<1%
14 Internet	
link.springer.com	<1%
15 Internet	
www.biologicalengineering.in	<1%
16 Publication	
Farah Syahibah Mohd Hariri, Asmah Hamid, Nor Fadilah Rajab. " Mutagenic, Anti	<1%
17 Internet	
www.mdpi.com	<1%
10 Internet	
18 Internet www.urca.br	<1%
19 Publication	
V. Edwin Hillary, S. Antony Ceasar, S. Ignacimuthu. "Efficacy of plant products in c	<1%
20 Internet	
studentsrepo.um.edu.my	<1%
21 Publication	<104
Sayono Sayono, Risyandi Anwar, Didik Sumanto, Eni Nurmalasar, Fajar Fauzi Abd	<1%
22 Internet	
dspace.cas.upm.edu.ph	<1%
23 Publication	
Chinnaperumal Kamaraj, Abdul Abdul Rahuman, Asokan Bagavan, Gandhi Elango	<1%
24 Publication	
Adalberto Alves Pereira Filho, Grasielle C. D'Ávila Pessoa, Lydia F. Yamaguchi, Mar	<1%

25 Publication	
larimuthu Govindarajan, Rajamohan Sivakumar. "Adulticidal and repellent prop	<1%
26 Publication	
lariza Severina de Lima Silva, Marcilene Souza da Silva, Rômulo Carlos Dantas da	<1%
27 Internet	
assjournal.com	<1%
28 Publication	
/ling-Xiang Li, Yong-Peng Ma, Hong-Xia Zhang, Hong-Zheng Sun, Hong-Hai Su, Sh	<1%
29 Internet	
yberleninka.org	<1%
30 Internet ub.epsilon.slu.se	<1%
	~1%
31 Internet	
23dok.com	<1%
32 Publication	
renkatesan Gopiesh Khanna, Krishnan Kannabiran, Govindasamy Rajakumar, Ab	<1%
33 Internet	
ntomon.in	<1%
34 Internet	
orizonepublishing.com	<1%
35 Internet	
	<1%
erpustakaan.poltekkes-malang.ac.id	
erpustakaan.poltekkes-malang.ac.id	<1%
erpustakaan.poltekkes-malang.ac.id 36 Internet epository.uin-malang.ac.id	<1%
erpustakaan.poltekkes-malang.ac.id Internet epository.uin-malang.ac.id Publication	
erpustakaan.poltekkes-malang.ac.id 36 Internet epository.uin-malang.ac.id	<1% <1%
erpustakaan.poltekkes-malang.ac.id 36 Internet epository.uin-malang.ac.id 37 Publication	

न turnitin

Page 5 of 27 - Integrity Overview



39 Publication	
Mallappa Kumara Swamy, Greetha Arumugam, Ravinder Kaur, Ali Ghasemzadeh,	<1%
40 Internet	
rsdjournal.org	<1%
41 Internet	
www.frontiersin.org	<1%
42 Internet	
www.ifrj.upm.edu.my	<1%
43 Internet	
www.researchgate.net	<1%
44 Publication	
Abhay Khandagle. "Insecticidal Properties of Three Plant Extracts Against Culex q	<1%
45 Publication	
S. Sayono, R. Anwar, D. Sumanto. "Evaluation of Toxicity in Four Extract Types of T	<1%
46 Publication	
Waralee Thanasoponkul, Tanasak Changbunjong, Rattanavadee Sukkurd, Tawee	<1%
47 Publication	
Herbal Insecticides Repellents and Biomedicines Effectiveness and Commercializ	<1%
48 Publication	
Indah Suasani Wahyuni, Irna Sufiawati, Amira Shafuria, Wipawee Nittayananta, J	<1%
49 Internet	

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF THE DENGUE VECTOR, *Aedes aegypti* ON THE LARVICIDAL AND REPELLENT ACTIVITY OF RHIZOME PLANT EXTRACTS

Sayono Sayono^{1*}, Risyandi Anwar² & Othman Wan-Norafikah^{3,4,5*}

¹Faculty of Public Health and Postgraduate Program of Public Health of Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia. ²Herbal Research Unit, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia. ³Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Selangor Branch, Sungai Buloh Campus, Jalan Hospital, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia. ⁴HW ReNeU. Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia. ⁵Institute of Medical Molecular Biotechnology (IMMB), Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Selangor Branch, Sungai Buloh Campus, Jalan Hospital, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia. *Corresponding authors: *say.epid@gmail.com*, *norafikah@uitm.edu.my*

Received: 29 April 2023; Accepted: 19 November 2023

ABSTRACT

The insecticidal potential of several plant secondary metabolites provides new hope for dengue vector control in endemic areas. This study evaluated the susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes to the larvicidal and repellent activity of rhizome plant extracts. Sixteen extract types of *Kaempferia galanga, Alpinia galanga, Zingiber zerumbet*, and *Curcuma aeruginosa* resulted from a maceration extraction based on methanol, ethyl acetate, n-hexane, and butanol solvents were occupied. The temephos-susceptible third-instar-larvae of *Ae. aegypti* were subjected to larvicidal bioassay. Concentration levels of 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1,000 ppm were used in the initial bioassay test five times replicated where each replicate consisting of 20 larvae. Larval mortality was calculated in 24h and 48h post-exposure. A total of 50 laboratory strain *Ae. aegypti* aged 3-5 days were placed in a chamber. Repellent cream was applied to the right arm while the left arm served as a control. The arms were inserted into the chamber and the number of mosquitoes perched within 30 minutes were counted. Post-exposure of 50% and 90% lethal exposure (LC₅₀-LC₉₀) and 50% and 90% effective concentration (EC₅₀-EC₉₀) were

determined. Within 24 hours, seven extract types indicated effective larvicidal concentrations, namely n-hexane extracts of *K. galanga*, *A. galanga*, *Z. zerumbet*, *C. aeruginosa* with the LC₅₀ of 18.693, 41.926, 109.247, and 205.500 ppm; methanol of *C. aeruginosa* (179.291 ppm); and ethyl acetate of *A. galanga* (306.200 ppm). Six extract types showed the lowest concentrations of repellents, namely ethyl acetate and methanol of *A. galanga* (1.558% and 2.629%); methanol, ethyl-acetate, and n-hexane of *Z. zerumbet* (2.525, 3.946 and 4.481%); and n-hexane of *K. galanga* (4.338%). *Aedes aegypti* larvae were susceptible to the hexane extract of four rhizome plants while the adults were susceptible to *Z. zerumbet* and *K. galanga* extracts. Of these, the hexane extract of the *K. galanga* affect most or kills/repell more both adult and larvae of Aedes. The stability of extracts, the practical formulation of larvicides and repellents; and the isolation of chemical compounds are important to be investigated in the future.

Keywords: Larvicidal activity, repellent, rhizome plant, dengue vector, Aedes aegypti

ABSTRAK

Potensi insektisid bagi sebilangan metabolit sekunder tumbuhan memberikan harapan baru kepada kawalan vektor denggi di kawasan endemik. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menilai kerentanan nyamuk Aedes aegypti terhadap aktiviti larvisidal dan penghalau ekstrak tumbuhan rizom. Sejumlah 16 jenis ekstrak daripada Kaempferia galanga, Alpinia galanga, Zingiber zerumbet dan Curcuma aeruginosa yang dihasilkan daripada pengekstrakan maserasi berasaskan larutan metanol, etil asetat, n-heksana dan butanol telah digunakan. Larva Ae. aegypti instar ketiga yang rentan kepada temephos telah digunakan dalam bioasai larvisidal. Tahap kepekatan pada 50, 250, 500 dan 1000 ppm telah digunapakai dalam ujian bioasai awalan untuk lima replikasi yang mana setiap replikasi mengandungi 20 larva. Mortaliti larva telah dikira pada 24 jam dan 48 jam selepas pendedahan. Sebanyak 50 ekor nyamuk Ae. aegypti strain makmal berusia 3-5 hari telah diletakkan di dalam sebuah kebuk. Krim repelen telah disapu pada lengan kanan manakala lengan kiri bertindak sebagai set kawalan. Kedua-dua lengan telah dimasukkan ke dalam kebuk dan bilangan nyamuk yang hinggap di atas lengan dalam masa 30 minit telah dikira. Nilai kematian 50% dan 90% (LC₅₀-LC₉₀) dan 50% dan 90% kepekatan efektif (EC₅₀-EC₉₀) selepas pendedahan telah ditentukan. Dalam tempoh 24 jam, tujuh jenis ekstrak menunjukkan keberkesanan dari segi kepekatan larvisidal, iaitu ekstrak nheksana bagi K. galanga, A. galanga, Z. zerumbet dan C. aeruginosa dengan nilai LC₅₀ 18.693, 41.926, 109.247 dan 205.500 ppm; ekstrak metanol bagi C. aeruginosa (179.291-ppm); dan ekstrak etil asetat bagi A. galanga (306.200-ppm). Enam jenis ekstrak menunjukkan kepekatan repelen paling rendah iaitu ekstrak etil asetat dan metanol bagi A. galanga (1,558 dan 2,629%); ekstrak metanol, etil asetat dan n-heksana bagi Z. zerumbet (2,525, 3,946 dan 4,481%); dan ekstrak n-heksana bagi K. galanga (4.338%). Larva Ae. aegypti adalah rentan terhadap ekstrak heksana bagi empat tumbuhan rizom manakala nyamuk dewasa pula adalah rentan terhadap ekstrak Z. zerumbet dan K. galanga. Kestabilan ekstrak, formulasi praktikal bagi larvisid dan repelen, serta isolasi sebatian kimia adalah penting untuk dikaji pada masa hadapan.

Kata kunci: Aktiviti larvisidal, Repelen, tumbuhan rizom, vektor denggi, Aedes aegypti

INTRODUCTION

The increase in the global occurrence of Dengue in two decades (Zeng et al. 2021), mainly in Oceania and Asia (Gwee et al. 2021, Tian et al. 2022) has triggered preventive measures (Buhler et al. 2019) through vector control and environmental management in synergy with the use of insecticides and cleaning microhabitats (Mone et al. 2019, Srisawat et al. 2022). The

30

19

long-term use of insecticides has led to the widespread emergence of resistant strains of dengue vectors in America, Africa, and Asia (Moyes et al. 2017). The temephos-resistant *Aedes aegypti* have been reported in Brazil (Valle et al. 2019), Ecuador (Morales et al. 2019), and Southeast Asia (Gan et al. 2021) including Indonesia (Rahayu et al. 2021), Columbia (Morgan et al. 2022), Nigeria (Mukhtar & Ibrahim 2022), Mexico (Ciau-Mendosa et al. 2022), and Peru (Palomino et al. 2022) that hampered the vector control efforts to prevent dengue virus transmission (Rasli et al. 2021). This phenomenon has prompted researchers to study the use of phytochemical compounds as active, safe, environmentally friendly, and biodegradable insecticide ingredients (Alyahya et al. 2021; Schorkopf et al. 2016; Piazzoni et al. 2022).

In the context of larvicides, several plant extracts such as *Derris elliptica* root (Sayono et al. 2020), *Solanum nigrum* (Dinh et al. 2020), *Ocimum basilicum* leaf (Chan et al. 2022), *Eugenia astringent* and *Myrrhinium atropurpureum* (Carneiro et al. 2021), roots and leaves of *Saussurea costus* (Ali & Venkatesalu 2020), *Tabernaemontana cymosa* and *Mammea americana* (Oliveros-Diaz et al. 2022) have been found to possess highly effective larvicidal effect. The repellent activity of 74-87% was achieved by methanol extracts of *Ervatamia coronaria* and *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* 5 mg/cm² (Govindarajan et al. 2011), while the repellency of petroleum ether extracts *Tribulus terrestris* of 1.5 mg/cm² was equivalent to the commercial repellent (El-Sheikh et al. 2016). The repellent activity of 96.9% was demonstrated by *Euphorbia balsamifera* petroleum ether extract 25% against *Anopheles gambiae* (Idris et al. 2014). *Allium cepa* leaf ethanol extract of 20% had the highest repellent effect against *Ae. aegypti* (Adnani et al. 2020) while the acetone extracts of *Ocimum basilicum* and *Abizia amara* showed repellent activity of 98-100% and 97-98% against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Ninthya & Dhivya 2019).

Evaluation of the larvicidal and repellent activity of rhizome plants against mosquito vectors has been reported in the form of extracts, essential oils, and endophytic bacteria (Fitri et al. 2023) with various results. The secondary metabolites of Kaempferia galanga extract showed effective larvicidal activity with LC₅₀ of 40 ppm against Ae. aegypti (Kim et al. 2008), while ether and chloroform extracts result in LC₅₀ of 64.08 and 105.02 ppm against the dengue vector (Satoto et al. 2013). The larvicidal efficacy of the hexane and ethyl acetate extracts of Alpinia galanga against Ae. aegypti was shown by LC₅₀ values of 53.39 and 123.29 ppm (Poonsri et al. 2019), while its rhizome essential oils showed an LC₅₀ of 47.18 ppm (Nguyen et al. 2022a). The methanol and ethyl acetate extracts of Zingiber zerumbet showed larvicidal activity with LC₅₀ of 153.57 and 185.80 ppm (Murini et al. 2017), while its essential oil reached LC₅₀ of 154 ppm against Ae. aegypti larvae (Khandagle et al. 2011). Studies on larvicidal activity of *Curcuma aeruginosa* ethanol extracts against *Ae. aegypti* showed an LC₅₀ of 291.78 ppm (Prawirasudarga et al. 2018). Another study on the larvicidal activity of turmeric (C. domestica) against Ae. aegypti larvae showed an LC₅₀ of 2.084 ppm (Susilowati et al. 2021), while C. xanthoriza essential oil showed an LC₅₀ of 25.94 ppm (Pereira et al. 2022). Essential oils of the rhizome plant of C. longa and Z. cassumunar have repellent activity for 4 to 8 hours against adult mosquito vectors (Tawatsin et al. 2001; Phasomkusolsil & Soonwera 2010), including the compound of (-)-terpinen-4-ol in C. cassumunar against Aedes albopictus (Li et al. 2021). Z. zerumbet essential oil 10% had a repellent activity of 72-99.1% against Ae. aegypti within two hours (Phukerd & Soonwera 2014). Seven species of local rhizome plants are abundantly produced in Indonesia, namely K. galanga; Z. zerumbet; A. galanga, C. longa, and C. aeruginosa (Statistics Indonesia 2022). However, studies on the bio-insecticidal potential of these rhizome plant extracts as larvicides and repellents are still limited. This study aimed to determine the larvicidal and repellent activity of these rhizome plant extracts that are effective against dengue vector; Ae. aegypti larvae and adult mosquitoes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Rhizome Plant Samples

This study was conducted for nine months from March until November 2022. The rhizome plants were obtained from three districts in Central Java, Indonesia, namely Semarang and Batang (*Z. zerumbet*) as well as Karanganyar districts (*A. galanga, K. galanga, and C. aeruginosa*). As many as 30 kg of *Z. zerumbet* rhizomes were purchased from farmers, while 15 kg of *A. galanga, K. galanga, and C. aeruginosa* were purchased in the form of simplicia from the Research Center for Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicine, Ministry of Health in Tawangmangu, Central Java, Indonesia. The extraction process was carried out at the Natural Materials Chemistry Central Laboratory, Padjadjaran University, Bandung, West Java, Indonesia using a gradual maceration method sequentially based on four solvents, namely methanol, ethyl acetate, n-hexane, and butanol.

Rearing of Aedes aegypti

Aedes aegypti Grobogan strain from Central Java, Indonesia which was known susceptible to temephos larvicide and colonized at the Laboratory of Epidemiology of Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Public Health, University of Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia was used in this study. Eggs of *Ae. aegypti* Grobongan strain (F14) was immersed in the water and hatched into larvae that were nurtured in plastic trays and fed with dog food. Some of the third instar larvae were used in the larvicidal bioassay test while the rest were further reared until the adult stage which were kept in a mosquito cage and fed with a 10% sugar solution. Some of the female adult mosquitoes were employed in the repellent bioassay test. The room air temperature and relative humidity (R.H.) conditions of the laboratory were maintained at a range of 26-30°C and 60-80%, respectively.

Larvicidal Bioassay Test

The larvicidal bioassay test was performed following the procedures of the World Health Organization (WHO) (2009). Twenty (20) healthy *Ae. aegypti* third instar larvae were subjected to each treatment group. In the preliminary study, the larvae were exposed to the larvicide solution of rhizome plant extract in a plastic cup with an initial concentration range of 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1000 ppm with a solution volume of 100 mL. The treatment for each concentration was prepared in five replicates and was accompanied by two control groups which were the distilled water as a negative control and 0.02 ppm temephos as a positive control. Larval mortality was observed and calculated after 24 and 48 hours of exposure. The concentration range for the next tests was determined based on the results of this bioassay test. The final experiment was stopped when the concentration range resulted in a <50 and >90% mortality range. If the larval mortality in the negative control group is >20%, the calculation of the larval mortality was corrected using Abbott's formula (1925).

Repellent Bioassay Test

The repellent used for this study was a topical lotion with a basic cream composition and rhizome plant extracts listed in Table 1. Following the repellent bioassay test procedure by the WHO (2009), a total of fifty (50) healthy *Ae. aegypti* laboratory strain female adults were collected using an aspirator from a mosquito cage and put into the repellent test chamber. The chamber was a box of 60 cm in length x 50 cm in width x 50 cm in height. The top, sides, and back were covered with tulle cloth while the front was equipped with two round holes with a diameter of 15 cm and were 20 cm apart from one another in which both holes were equipped with a cone-shaped cloth cover with a perforated end. The left arm of the proband served as a negative and positive control, while the right arm served as a treatment. The negative control

28

used was 70% alcohol while the positive control was a commercial 13% DEET. The left arm that was smeared with alcohol was put into the chamber and left for 30 seconds. The number of mosquitoes that perched on the left arm was counted with a counter. The experiment was repeated three times in different chambers. If the number of mosquitoes that landed was more than 10, then the experiment was continued. The repellent bioassay test for the positive control group was carried out with the same procedures. Meanwhile, the right arm was smeared with rhizome plant extract repellent with an initial concentration range of 1%, 15%, and 30%. The number of mosquitoes that perched on the right arm was counted. The mortality percentage of mosquitoes during the preliminary study was used to design the concentration of the repellent in this experiment until the repellent activity was <50% and >90%.

Components		Materia	ls Compositi	on (%) In E	ach Formula	ı
Components	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F
Cera alba	2	2	2	2	2	2
Asam stearate	5	5	5	5	5	5
NaOH	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Carbomer	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Tween 80	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9
Span 80	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Metil paraben	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
Propil paraben	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Aquadest Ad	100	100	100	100	100	100
Extract	5	10	15	20	25	30

Table 1.The composition of the repellent formula of rhizome plant extracts

Extract concentration in each formula: A=5%, B=10%, C=15%, D=20%, E=25%, and F=30%

Data Analysis

Simple statistical analysis and description of extract quantity, larvicidal potency, and repellent activity data were performed and displayed in detail using Microsoft Excel version 10 software in the form of charts and tables. The effective concentrations of larvicides and repellents were determined by probit analysis using SPSS version 16.0.


RESULTS

Preparation of Rhizome Plant Samples

In this study, 16 extracts from four rhizome plants were obtained which represented three classifications of solvent polarity, namely polar (methanol and butanol), semi-polar (ethyl acetate), and nonpolar (n-hexane) (Table 2). Based on their quantities, *A. galanga* and *C. aeruginosa* produced 5-6 times more extract than *Z. zerumbet* and *K. galanga* (Figure 1). The quantity of the extracts varied according to the rhizome plant species and the solvent. The n-hexane extract type showed the highest quantity in the three species of rhizome plants while ethyl acetate was produced the most only in *A. galanga*. The least quantity of extract was produced from butanol solvent (Table 2).



No.	Rhizome Plants	Extraction Solvent	Extract Weight (Gram)
1	Z. zerumbet	Methanol	15.8
		Ethyl acetate	149.0
		n-Hexane	190.2
		Butanol	9.7
2	A. galanga	Methanol	195.6
		Ethyl acetate	901.9
		n-Hexane	173.0
		Butanol	26.4
3	K. galanga	Methanol	47.8
		Ethyl acetate	24.3
		n-Hexane	135.4
		Butanol	3.9
4	C. aeruginosa	Methanol	208.3
	-	Ethyl acetate	358.6
		n-Hexane	449.3
		Butanol	25.3






Figure 1. The total extract quantities of the rhizome plants

Larvicidal Bioassay Test

Results of the rhizome plant larvicidal bioassay test showed variations in the concentration and mortality of *Ae. aegypti* by species and extract type (Table 3). The n-hexane extract showed the highest larvicidal activity among the four rhizome plant species studied with various concentrations and post-exposure larval mortality ranges at 24h and 48h. The best concentration range of n-hexane extract was found in the rhizome of *K. galanga* and *A. galanga* with 37.5 - 67.5 ppm and 30 - 90 ppm, respectively, which caused post-exposure mortality of 24 hours of 83-100% and 23-100%, respectively. These were followed by *C. aeruginosa* and *Z. zerumbet* each with a concentration of 40-200 ppm and 175-275 ppm, respectively, with 24h

post-exposure mortality of 6-97% and 9-100%. Butanol extract showed low activity wherein the high concentration range resulted in the mortality of *Ae. aegypti* which was low for three species of rhizome plants. The limited amount of *C. aeruginosa* caused the butanol extract to be insufficient for the bioassay test. The low larvicidal activity was also demonstrated by the methanol extracts of *K. galanga* and ethyl acetate of *Z. zerumbet* and *C. aeruginosa*.

Extract types	Concentration (ppm)		Larvae A Exposul Larvicio	re to	Larval Mortality		Larvae A Exposur Larvicid	e to	Larval Mortality
••		Min	Max	Mean	- (%)	Min	Max	Mean	- (%)
Z. zerumbet									
Methanol	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2	1.66
	1000	0	1	0.2	1.66	0	2	0.4	3.33
Ethyl acetate	100	0	1	0.01	0	2	7	4.2	21
	200	1	1	0.2	1	5	8	6.2	31
	500	1	2	0.3	1.5	3	9	6.2	31
	1000	2	2	0.4	2	7	11	8.0	40
	2500	5	14	9.6	48	11	17	13.6	68
n-Hexane	40	1	2	1.8	9	3	8	5.0	25
	70	1	6	2.8	14	5	20	13.0	65
	100	8	14	9.8	49	19	20	19.6	98
	130	5	18	10.8	54	19	20	19.8	99
	160	5	19	12.6	63	20	20	20.0	100
	200	20	20	20.0	100	20	20	20.0	100
Butanol	100	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0
	600	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0
	1200	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0
	2000	1	1	0.6	3	1	3	2.0	10
	3000	2	7	5.0	25	3	9	6.0	30
A. galanga									
Methanol	50	0	1	0.2	1.66	0	1	0.2	1.66
	100	0	1	0.2	1.66	0	1	0.4	3.33
	250	0	1	0.2	3.33	0	1	0.4	3.33
	500	0	1	0.4	3.33	0	2	0.4	3.33
	1000	0	2	0.4	3.66	1	3	0.8	6.66
Ethyl acetate	50	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1.4	7
	100	Ő	1	0.4	2	1	6	3.2	16
	200	4	11	7.4	37	7	13	9.8	49
	400	7	15	10.2	51	13	17	14.8	74
	600	16	20	18.2	91	20	20	20.0	100
n-Hexane	30	0	9	4.6	23	6	11	8.6	43
	45	6	14	10.4	52	15	18	16.6	83
	60	12	18	15.4	77	18	20	18.8	94
	75	19	20	19.6	98	20	20	20.0	100
	90	20	20	20.0	100	20	20	20.0	100
Butanol	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
· · · · · · ·	600	ů 0	ů 0	Ő	ů 0	ů 0	ů 0	ů 0	0
	1200	0	0	0	ů 0	0	0	0	0
	2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K. galanga	2000	~	0	0	0	5	0	0	0

 Table 3.
 Results of larvicidal bioassay test using rhizome plant extracts against Aedes aegypti larvae



turnitin Page 14 of 27 - Integrity Submission Serangga 2024, 29(1): 49-69.

24

Extract types	Concentration (ppm)		Larvae A Exposui Larvició		Larval Mortality	Dead I of	Larval Mortality		
		Min	Max	Mean	- (%)	^{ty} Lar Min M		Mean	- (%)
	3000	1	4	3.0	15	8	18	10.6	53
	3400	1	20	7.0	35	8	20	12.2	61
	3800	9	19	15.6	78	14	20	17.4	87
	4000	14	20	17.2	86	14	20	18.8	94
Ethyl acetate	200	3	12	7.0	35	15	19	17.6	88
	250	8	17	11.8	59	16	20	18.4	92
	300	11	`19	16.4	82	19	20	19.8	99
	350	16	20	19.0	95	20	20	20.0	100
	400	20	20	20.0	100	20	20	20.0	100
n-Hexane	37.5	10	20	16.6	83	13	20	17.6	88
	45.0	14	20	17.6	88	18	20	19.4	97
	52.5	15	19	17.8	89	18	20	19.6	98
	60.0	19	20	19.8	99	20	20	20	100
	67.5	20	20	20.0	100	20	20	20	100
Butanol	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	500	0	10	3.33	16.65	3	11	6.33	31.65
	1000	10	13	11	55.0	15	17	14.66	73.3
C. aeruginosa	!								
Methanol	100	1	5	2.8	14	8	12	10.0	50
	150	6	9	7.6	38	12	17	15.0	75
	200	10	14	11.6	58	15	19	16.6	83
	250	10	15	13.0	65	16	18	17.4	87
	300	17	20	19.2	96	20	20	20.0	100
Ethyl acetate	500	1	5	3.0	15	10	17	13.6	68
	1000	3	8	5.0	25	14	17	15.8	79
	1500	7	15	10.8	54	15	18	16.8	84
	2000	13	20	15.2	76	20	20	20.0	100
	2500	17	20	18.2	91	20	20	20.0	100
n-Hexane	175	0	2	1.2	6	0	5	2.2	11
	200	4	10	8.0	40	14	18	16.0	80
	225	16	19	17.4	87	19	20	19.4	97
	250	16	20	18.8	94	19	20	19.8	99
	275	18	20	19.4	97	20	20	20.0	100
Butanol	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Temephos*	0.02	100	100	100		-	-	-	
Aquadest#	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	

After 24h of exposure, seven out of sixteen rhizome plant extracts showed high larvicidal activity against *Ae. aegypti* as indicated by LC₅₀ of less than 750 ppm, namely n-hexane extract of *K. galanga, A. galanga, Z. zerumbet*, and *C. aeruginosa*, as well as methanol extract of *C. aeruginosa*, and also ethyl acetate extracts of *K. galanga* and *A. galanga*. Two extracts showed LC₅₀ <50 ppm (n-hexane extracts of *K. galanga* and *A. galanga*); four extracts showed LC₅₀ <250 ppm (methanol extract of *C. aeruginosa*, ethyl acetate of *K. galanga*, n-hexane extracts of *Z. zerumbet* and *C. aeruginosa*); and ethyl acetate extract of *A. galanga* with LC₅₀ <750 ppm. Extract types that resulted in LC₅₀ >750 ppm were butanol extracts of all rhizome plants, ethyl acetate extracts of *Z. zerumbet* and *C. aeruginosa*, and methanol extract of *K. galanga* (Table 4).

Dhizomo		E-tracet	Lethal Concentration (ppm)									
No	No Rhizome	Extract -	LO	C50	L	C90						
plants	plants types		48h	24h	48h							
1	Z. zerumbet	Methanol	5125.266	2398.890	20605.453	8376.527						
		Ethyl acetate	2851.995	1196.740	7549.773	46317.150						
		n-Hexane	109.247	54.435	227.068	88.991						
		Butanol	5510.043	4622.484	13727.866	11325.241						
2	A. galanga	Methanol	112830.399	9582.149	1.386E8	1592560.506						
		Ethyl acetate	306.200	196.960	705.459	528.420						
		n-Hexane	41.926	32.122	66.973	50.774						
		Butanol	-	-	-	-						
3	K. galanga	Methanol	3470.942	2811.690	4159.423	4136.760						
		Ethyl acetate	228.305	57.341	321.198	191.614						
		n-Hexane	18.693	13.655	46.522	32.757						
		Butanol	922.574	677.491	2068.714	1485.321						
4	C. aeruginosa	Methanol	179.291	101.221	328.374	230.991						
	-	Ethyl acetate	1269.421	364.481	3028.015	1322.509						
		n-Hexane	205.500	190.515	239.180	211.702						
		Butanol	-	-	-	-						

Table 4.	The lethal concentrations obtained for rhizome plant extracts larvicides against
	Aedes aegypti larvae

Repellent Bioassay Test

The repellent activity varied according to the rhizome plant species and the concentration of the extract whereas a dose-response effect occurred according to the concentration. The lowest and highest concentration ranges were found in the ethyl acetate extracts of K. galanga (2.5-20.0%) and the n-hexane extract of C. aeruginosa (15.0-30.0%). The average of perch mosquitoes in the treatment group ranged from 0.2 to 8.5, while in the negative control group, it ranged from 10.2 to 16.0. There were no mosquitoes perched on the skin in the positive control. Seven types of extracts namely two types of Z. zerumbet (ethyl acetate and n-hexane extracts), two types of *A. galanga* (methanol and ethyl acetate extracts), and three types of *K*. galanga (methanol, ethyl acetate, and n-hexane) extracts showed high repellent activities in which at a concentration of 20%, they were able to avoid 92.0-98.5% exposure to mosquitoes. The ethyl acetate extracts of Z. zerumbet and K. galanga indicated the highest repellent activity, which was 98.5% and 98.21%, respectively, at a concentration of 20% (Table 5). Variations in the repellent activity of rhizome plant extracts according to the plant species and extract type are shown in Table 6 in which four extracts showed $EC_{50} < 5\%$ (ethyl acetate extract of Z. *zerumbet* and *A. galanga*, n-hexane extract of *Z. zerumbet* and *K. galanga*), three extracts with EC₅₀ between 5-10% (methanol and ethyl acetate extract of K. galanga, and butanol extract of C. aeruginosa), and four extracts with $EC_{50} > 10\%$ (n-hexane extract of A. galanga and C. aeruginosa, methanol and ethyl acetate extracts of C. aeruginosa). Butanol extract was the only effective repellent on C. aeruginosa due to its limited quantity.

14

Sayono et al.

	Table 5.	-	2					Aedes aegypt	<i>ti</i> adult n	nosquito	Des	
	Concentration	Number of Landing Mosquitoes on The Arm Skin								ΔA^*		
Extract types		Tre	atment	Group	Neg	gative C	ontrol	Positive	M:	Max	Mean	Activity
	(%)	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Control	Min	wiax	wiean	(%)
Z. zerumbet												
Methanol	5	3	6	5.00	12	13	12.67	0	7	11	8.33	66.11
	10	2	4	3.00	11	13	12.33	0	9	10	9.67	76.49
	15	1	4	2.00	11	13	12.67	0	9	12	10.67	84.39
	20	1	3	1.33	11	13	12.33	0	10	12	11.00	86.96
Ethyl acetate	5	5	5	5.0	12	14	13.6	0	8	9	8.6	63.24
•	10	2	3	2.6	13	14	13.6	0	10	12	10.6	77.95
	15	1	2	1.2	13	15	13.6	0	12	13	12.4	91.18
	20	0	1	0.2	11	14	13.6	0	13	14	13.4	98.53
n-Hexane	5	4	8	5.4	10	15	12.4	0	6	8	7.2	58.06
	10	3	5	3.4	11	14	12.8	0	8	10	9.2	73.02
	15	0	2	0.8	11	15	12.6	0	10	13	11.4	90.48
	20	0	1	0.4	10	14	12.8	0	10	14	12.2	96,83
Butanol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A. galanga												
Methanol	5	3	6	3.83	11	14	12.33	0	6	11	8.50	68.46
	10	2	4	2.67	11	14	12.33	0	8	12	9.67	78.01
	15	1	4	1.83	11	13	12.33	0	8	13	10.50	85.00
	20	0	1	0.67	11	14	12.33	0	10	14	11.67	94.42
Ethyl acetate	2.5	3	6	5.2	11	15	12.8	0	6	9	7.8	60.94
•	7.5	2	5	4.4	10	15	12.8	0	7	11	9.2	71.43
	15	1	3	2.3	11	15	12.7	0	8	13	10.3	81.10
	20	0	2	1.0	11	15	12.7	0	10	14	11.7	92.13
n-Hexane	10	6	11	8.5	15	18	16.0	0	5	10	7.7	48.13
	15	3	8	5.8	15	18	15.8	0	7	13	10.2	64.56
	20	2	5	3.5	14	18	15.8	0	11	14	12.5	79.11
	25	0	4	1.5	15	18	16.0	0	11	16	14.5	90.63
Butanol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
K. galanga												
Methanol	5	5	8	6.5	11	14	13.0	0	3	9	6.3	48.46
	10	3	4	3.1	11	15	12.8	0	8	11	9.8	76.56

	15	1	2	1.3	11	14	13.0	0	9	13	11.3	86.92
	20	0	1	0.5	11	14	12.8	0	10	14	12.5	97.66
Ethyl acetate	5	6	9	7.5	10	13	11.3	0	2	5	3.8	33.63
•	10	3	5	4.5	10	13	11.2	0	6	9	7.2	64.29
	15	1	3	2.5	10	13	11.3	0	7	11	8.8	77.88
	20	0	1	0.3	10	13	11.2	0	9	13	11.0	98.21
n-Hexane	5	3	8	4.8	10	14	11.5	0	2	9	6.7	58.26
	10	1	5	5.3	10	15	11.3	0	6	12	8.3	73.45
	15	0	4	1.8	10	14	11.3	0	7	13	9.7	85.84
	20	0	2	0.5	10	14	11.5	0	10	13	11.0	95.65
Butanol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C. aeruginosa												
Methanol	10	6	10	7.3	12	18	15.5	0	5	12	7.8	50.32
	15	3	8	4.7	11	18	15.3	0	8	15	10.8	70.59
	20	2	5	2.5	12	18	15.5	0	7	15	12.0	77.42
	25	0	3	1.7	12	18	15.2	0	9	18	13.8	90.79
Ethyl acetate	10	5	8	6.7	10	14	13.1	0	4	9	6.5	49.39
	15	3	6	4.7	10	14	13.2	0	8	11	8.5	64.39
	20	2	5	3.3	11	14	13.2	0	9	12	10.2	77.27
	25	0	1	0.7	11	14	13.3	0	10	14	12.5	93.99
n-Hexane	15	4	6	4.5	9	11	10.2	0	5	7	6.0	58.82
	20	2	5	3.2	10	11	10.3	0	6	9	7.3	70.87
	25	0	3	2.0	10	11	10.5	0	7	11	8.5	80.95
	30	0	1	0.3	10	12	10.5	0	9	11	10.2	97.14
butanol	10	5	8	6.5	10	20	14.7	0	5	14	8.2	55.78
	15	3	5	4.0	10	20	14.3	0	7	16	10.7	74.83
	20	2	3	2.5	11	20	14.5	0	8	17	12.2	84.14
	25	0	1	0.5	10	19	14.3	0	10	19	14.2	99.30

Sayono et al.

No	Rhizome plants	Extract types	Effective Concentration (%)	
			EC ₅₀	EC90
1	Z. zerumbet	Methanol	2.525	23.005
		Ethyl acetate	3.946	13.334
		n-Hexane	4.481	15.950
		Butanol	-	-
2	A. galanga	Methanol	2.629	17.812
		Ethyl acetate	1.558	27.236
		n-Hexane	10.781	26.780
		Butanol	-	-
3	K. galanga	Methanol	5.329	15.059
		Ethyl acetate	7.215	18.163
		n-Hexane	4.338	17.105
		Butanol	-	-
4	C. aeruginosa	Methanol	10.064	26.930
	-	Ethyl acetate	10.739	25.789
		n-Hexane	14.070	27.720
		Butanol	9.610	20.438

Table 6. The effective concentration for rhizome plant extract repellents against *Aedes aegypti* adult mosquitoes

 turnitin
 Page 19 of 27 - Integrity Submission

 Serangga 2024, 29(1): 49-69.

18

18

47

DISCUSSION

The quest for new active compounds that are effective, safe, and environmentally friendly is an important effort in the formulation of phyto-insecticides (Dohutia et al. 2015). The development of bio-larvicides is part of these efforts to overcome the problem of mosquito vector resistance to insecticides (Rasli et al. 2021). Findings from this study complement the previously reported information, specifically on the larvicidal and repellent activity of extracts from four species of rhizome plants. There were seven of sixteen and six of thirteen types of rhizome plant extracts that showed effective larvicidal and repellent activities in this study. Based on previously reported criteria for the larvicidal effectiveness of herbal ingredients (Komalamisra et al. 2005), the n-hexane extracts of K. galanga and A. galanga exhibited larvicidal activity with a high level of effectiveness. Both types of extracts were more effective than ether and chloroform extracts of K. galanga (Satoto et al. 2013) and secondary metabolites ethyl cinnamate and 3-carene (Kim et al. 2008) which have been reported previously. This study also demonstrated that the larvicidal activity of A. galanga extract was on par with previous reports, both in extract form (Poonsri et al. 2019) and essential oil (Nguyen et al. 2022a). Only one Z. zerumbet extract showed high larvicidal activity, namely n-hexane extract. These findings differed from previous reports which showed high larvicidal activity in methanol and ethyl acetate extracts (Murini et al. 2017) and essential oils (Khandagle et al. 2011). Variations and differences in larvicidal activity from the same plant (Z. zerumbet) are very likely to occur and have been reported by several researchers. This phenomenon could be caused by several factors, including habitat conditions (Karahan et al. 2016), abiotic environment (Kumar et al. 2017), climate (Sampaio & Da-Costa 2018), and the geographical origin of plants (Qi et al. 2018).

Two of the three types of *C. aeruginosa* extract obtained in this study also indicated higher larvicidal activity than the previously reported ethanol extract (Prawirasudarga et al. 2018). This condition could be due to several factors including differences in solvents and extraction methods. Even though methanol and ethanol are universal solvents that are polar, the yield and total flavonoid content of methanol solvent is slightly higher (Nguyen et al. 2022b). Different types and levels of solvent polarity bind to different types and quantities of secondary metabolites (Iloki-Assanga et al. 2015). Although studies of *C. aeruginosa* larvicidal activity are still limited, these findings can add new information to several similar reports on larvicidal activity from the genus *Curcuma*, namely *C. domestica* (Susilowati et al. 2021) and *C. xanthoriza* (Pereira et al. 2022) in which only *C. xanthoriza* showed high larvicidal activity so far.

Results from this study complement various reports on the larvicidal and repellent activity of rhizome plants. Findings from this study are more complete than previous studies which covered on thirteen types of extracts from four species of rhizome plants with EC₉₀ ranging from 13.334% to 27.720%. The high repellent activity was found in seven of thirteen types of rhizome plant extracts in which at a concentration of 20%, they were able to repel at 92-98.5% from exposure to mosquitoes. The highest repellent activity was shown by the *Z. zerumbet* ethyl acetate extract with an EC₉₀ of 13.334%. These findings are very promising for the development of repellent products as its repellent effect is equivalent to diethyltoluamide in commercial repellent activity of the petroleum ether extract of *Tribulus terrestris* (El-Sheikh et al. 2016). Studies on the repellent activity of rhizome plants including *Z. zerumbet* against *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes are still limited. The repellent activity of several rhizome plant species that have been studied only covered on *C. longa* and *Z. cassumunar* in which the

essential oils of both species had a repellent activity of 4-8 hours. Another study reported the repellent activity of the compound (–)-terpinen-4-ol on *C. cassumunar* (Li et al. 2021), while *Z. zerumbet* essential oil 10% had a repellent activity of 72-99.1% against *Ae. aegypti* within 2 hours (Phukerd & Soonwera 2014). The repellent formulation in this study used a different material from previous studies which was the rhizome extract. According to the WHO bioassay repellent test procedure (World Health Organization 2009), the repellent activity is determined from the comparison between the average difference of mosquitoes perching in the control group and the experimental group to the control group based on the concentration of the extract in the total volume of lotion. This thinking refers to commercial repellent formulations with active DEET ingredients whereby the concentration of the active ingredient in each sachet pack is 13%. This concentration is very close to the repellent activity of 90% in ethyl acetate and nhexane extract of *Z. zerumbet* and methanol extract of *K. galanga* achieved in this study.

The extracts of the rhizome plants in this study were obtained by the gradual maceration of extraction procedure; an inexpensive and optimum conventional method, especially for obtaining total flavonoids and phenolics (Alipieva et al. 2010), although it required a longer time, and more materials and solvents (Rasul 2018). Overall, the results of this study provided added value to the benefits of rhizome plants both inside and outside the health sector. The added value of these findings in the health sector is more focused on diversifying technological products that are useful for controlling mosquito-borne diseases. So far, various species of rhizome plants have been studied for their benefits in strengthening and maintaining the body's metabolic system so that they can prevent worm and bacterial infections, suppress inflammation, reduce pain, diarrheal diseases, sedative, cytotoxic, and insecticide (Kumar et al. 2017; Shetu et al. 2018). The added value also includes aspects of function diversification and utilization of local potential. Currently, the production of rhizome plants in Indonesia has reached 54,400 tons per year in 2021 with the five provinces that recorded the highest production being Central Java, West Java, Lampung, South Kalimantan, and East Java (Statistics Indonesia 2022). This study also supports the diversification of functions of rhizome plants in the health sector, especially in strengthening its function as an insecticide (Shetu et al. 2018) when the traditional use by the community is still limited to only as herbal drinks and cooking spices (Sumarni et al. 2019).

CONCLUSION

A total of seven extract types of rhizome plants showed effective larvicidal activity in which two extract types were highly effective (n-hexane extract of *K. galanga* and *A. galanga*), four types were moderately effective (n-hexane extracts of *Z. zerumbet* and *C. aeruginosa*, and methanol extracts of *C. aeruginosa* and *A. galanga*), while the one low effective type was ethyl acetate extract of *A. galanga*. Six extract types showed effective repellent concentrations with $EC_{50} < 5\%$, namely methanol and ethyl acetate extracts of *A. galanga*; methanol, ethyl acetate, and n-hexane extracts of *Z. zerumbet*; and n-hexane extract of *K. galanga*. Further studies on extracts' stabilities, larvicidal and repellent formulations for practical application in the field, and the isolation of chemical compounds from the most active extracts are necessary to be performed in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Directorate of Research, Technology and Community Service, Directorate General of Higher Education, Research and Technology, Ministry of Education

and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia for funding the research with contract number 158/E5/PG.02.00.PT/2022.

AUTHORS DECLARATIONS

Funding Statement

This research received a grant from the Directorate of Research, Technology, and Community Service; Directorate General of Higher Education, Research and Technology; Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology with grant number SP DIPA-023.17.1.690523/2022.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethics Declarations

This research protocol was reviewed and obtained ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Public Health, University of Muhammadiyah Semarang number: 698/KEPK-FKM/UNIMUS/2022.

Data Availability Statement

The manuscript has no associated data.

Authors' Contributions

Sayono Sayono (SS) designed the research, collected natural materials, reared mosquitoes, conducted experiments, analyzed data, and wrote and revised the manuscript. Risyandi Anwar (RA) extracted plant rhizomes and provided experimental support, data analysis, and manuscript writing. Othman Wan-Norafikah (OWN) finalizes manuscripts and supports submission to journals and manuscript revision.



REFERENCES

- Abbott, W.S. 1925. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18: 265-267.
- Adnani, B., Rahmah, Z., Fitrianingsih, A.A. & Setiawan, A.M. 2020. Potential test of ethanol extract from onion (*Allium cepa* L.) leaves as a repellent to *Aedes aegypti. Journal of Islamic Medicine* 4(1): 65-75.
- Ali, S.I. & Venkatesalu, V. 2020. Evaluation of the larvicidal potential of root and leaf extracts of *Saussurea costus* (Falc.) Lipsch. against three mosquito vectors: *Anopheles stephensi*, *Aedes aegypti*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 53: e20190018.
- Alyahya, H. S., Alkuriji, M.A., Soror, L., Ghazal, N., Alghannam, K. & Shaher, F. M. 2021. Natural extracts as eco-friendly larvicides against *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, vector of dengue fever virus in Jeddah Governorate. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia* 18(1): 219-226.
- Alipieva, K., Petreska, J., Gil-zquierdo, A., Stefova, M., Evstatieva, L. & Bankova, V. 2010. Influence of the extraction method on the yield of flavonoids and phenolics from *Sideritis* spp. (Pirin Mountain tea). *Natural Product Communications* 5(1): 51-54.
- Buhler, C., Winkler, V., Runge-Ranzinger, S., Boyce, R. & Horstick, O. 2019. Environmental methods for dengue vector control - A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 13(7): e0007420.
- Carneiro, V.C.S., Lucena, L.B., Figueiró, R. & Victório, C.P. 2021. Larvicidal activity of plants from Myrtaceae against *Aedes aegypti* L. and *Simulium pertinax* Kollar (Diptera). *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 54: e00922020.
- Chan, C.A., Ho, L.Y. & Sit, N.W. 2022. Larvicidal activity and phytochemical profiling of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) leaf extract against Asian tiger mosquito (*Aedes albopictus*). *Horticulturae* 8(5): 443.
- Ciau-Mendosa, J.A., Gómez-Rivera, A.S., Canto-Mis, K.L., Chan-Chable, R.J., González-Acosta, C., Moreno-García, M., Correa-Morales, F. & Mis-Avila, P.C. 2022.
 Susceptibility status to temephos in larval *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) populations from Quintana Roo, Southeastern Mexico. *Florida Entomologist* 105(3): 255-257.
- Dinh, T.D.H., Le, Q.T., Nguyen, T.D., Nguyen, T.Q.T., Ho, A.S., Nguyen, V.B., Nguyen, T.V., Hoang, T.H., Nguyen, V.D. & Nguyen, K.L. 2020. Larvicidal activity of Vietnamese Solanum nigrum on mosquitoes Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Entomological and Acarological Research 52(1): 26-33.
- Dohutia, C., Bhattacharyya, D.R., Sharma, S.K., Mohapatra, P.K., Gogoi, P., Mahanta, J. & Prakash, A. 2015. Larvicidal activity of few select indigenous plants of North East India against disease vector mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Tropical Biomedicine* 32(1): 17–23.

SSN 1394-5130 Page 22 of 27 - Integrity Submission

- El-Sheikh, T.M. Y., Al-Fifi, Z.I.A. & Alabboud, M.A. 2016. Larvicidal and repellent effect of some *Tribulus terrestris* L., (Zygophyllaceae) extracts against the dengue fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society* 20(1): 13-19.
- Fitri, L., Nurjanah & Yasmin, Y. 2023. Potential of ginger endophytic actinobacteria as *Aedes aegypti* mosquito larvicide. *Serangga* 28(1):1-13.
- Gan, S.J., Leong, Y.Q., Bin-Barhanuddin, M.F.H., Wong, S.T., Wong, S.F., Mak, J.W. & Ahmad, B.R. 2021. Dengue fever and insecticide resistance in *Aedes* mosquitoes in Southeast Asia: A review. *Parasites & Vectors* 14: 315.
- Govindarajan, M., Mathivanan, T., Elumalai, K., Krishnappa, K. & Anandan, A. 2011. Ovicidal and repellent activities of botanical extracts against *Culex quinquefasciatus*, *Aedes aegypti*, and *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 1(1): 43-48.
- Gwee, X.W.S., Chua, P.E.Y. & Pang, J. 2021. Global dengue importation: A systematic review. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 21: 1078.
- Idris, M.M., Mudi, S.Y. & Datti, Y. 2014. Phytochemical screening and mosquito repellent activity of the stem bark extracts of *Euphorbia balsamifera* (Ait). *ChemSearch Journal* 5(2): 46-51.
- Iloki-Assanga, S.B., Lewis-Luján, L.M., Lara-Espinoza, C.L., Gil-Salido, A.A., Fernandez-Angulo, D., Rubio-Pino, J.L. & Haines, D.D. 2015. Solvent effects on phytochemical constituent profiles and antioxidant activities, using four different extraction formulations for analysis of *Bucida buceras* L. and *Phoradendron californicum*. *BMC Research Notes* 8: 396.
- Karahan, F., Avsar, C., Ozyigit, I.I. & Berber, I. 2016. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of medicinal plant *Glycyrrhiza glabra* var: *gladulifera* from different habitats. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment* 30(4): 797-804.
- Khandagle, A.J., Tare, V.S., Raut, K.D. & Morey, R.A. 2011. Bioactivity of essential oils of *Zingiber officinalis* and *Achyranthes aspera* against mosquitoes. *Parasitology Research* 109: 339-343.
- Komalamisra, N., Trongtokit, Y., Rongsriyam, Y. & Apiwathnasorn, C. 2005. Screening for larvicidal activity in some Thai plants against four mosquito vector species. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 36(6): 1412-1422.
- Kim, N.J., Byun, S.G., Cho, J.E., Chung, K. & Ahn, Y.J. 2008. Larvicidal activity of Kaempferia galanga rhizome phenylpropanoids towards three mosquito species. Pest Management Science 64(8): 857-862.
- Kumar, S., Yadav, A., Yadav, M. & Yadav, J.P. 2017. Effect of climate change on phytochemical diversity, total phenolic content and in vitro antioxidant activity of *Aloe vera* (L.) Burm.f. *BMC Research Notes* 10:60.

- Li, M-.X., Ma, Y-.P., Zhang, H-.X., Sun, H-.Z., Su, H-.H., Pei, S-.J. & Du, Z-.Z. 2021. Repellent, larvicidal and adulticidal activities of essential oil from Dai medicinal plant *Zingiber cassumunar* against *Aedes albopictus*. *Plant Diversity* 43(4): 317-323.
- Mone, F.H., Hossain, S., Hasan, M.T., Tajkia, G. & Ahmed, F. 2019. Sustainable actions needed to mitigate dengue outbreak in Bangladesh. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 19(11): 1166-1167.
- Morales, D., Ponce, P., Cevallos, V., Espinosa, P., Vaca, D. & Quezada, W. 2019. Resistance Status of *Aedes aegypti* to deltamethrin, malathion, and temephos in Ecuador. *Journal* of the American Mosquito Control Association 35(2): 113-122.
- Morgan, J., Salcedo-Sora, J.E., Triana-Chavez, O. & Strode, C., 2022. Expansive and diverse phenotypic landscape of field *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae with Differential susceptibility to temephos: Beyond metabolic detoxification. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 59(1): 192-212.
- Moyes, C.L., Vontas, J., Martins, A.J., Ng, L.C., Koou, S.Y., Dusfour, I., Raghavendra, K., Pinto, J., Corbel, V., David, J.P. & Weetman, D. 2017. Contemporary status of insecticide resistance in the major *Aedes* vectors of arboviruses infecting humans. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 11(7): e0005625.
- Mukhtar, M.M. & Ibrahim, S.S. 2022. Temporal evaluation of insecticide resistance in populations of the major arboviral vector *Aedes aegypti* from northern Nigeria. *Insects* 13(2): 187.
- Murini, T., Wahyuningsih, M.S.H. & Satoto, T.B.T. 2017. Comparison of methanol, ethyl acetates and chloroform extracts of *Zingiber zerumbet* (L) Smith in larvisidal activities on *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidee) larvae. *Majalah Farmaseutik* 13(2): 88-94.
- Nguyen, B.V., Hung, N.H., Satyal, P., Dai, D.N., Huong, L.T., Hien, V.T., Hoan, L.C., Vi, L.D.T. & Setzer, W. N. 2022a. Chemical composition and pesticidal activity of *Alpinia* galanga (L.) Willd. essential oils in Vietnam. *Records of Natural Products* 16(2): 182-187.
- Nguyen, N.V.T., Duong, N.T., Nguyen, K.N.H., Bui, N.T., Pham, T.L.T., Nguyen, K.T., Le, P.H. & Kim, K.H. 2022b. Effect of extraction solvent on total phenol, flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of *Avicenna officinalis*. *Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry* 12(2): 2678-2690.
- Ninthya, R. & Dhivya, R. 2019. Phytochemical screening and repellent activity of leaf extracts of *Ocimum basilicum* and *Albizia amara* against the mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *International Journal of Research and Review* 6(3): 164-170.
- Oliveros-Diaz, A.F., Pájaro-González, Y., Cabrera-Barraza, J., Hill, C., Quiñones-Fletcher, W., Olivero-Verbel, J. & Díaz Castillo, F. 2022. Larvicidal activity of plant extracts from Colombian North Coast against *Aedes aegypti* L. mosquito larvae. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* 15(12): 104365.

SSN 1394-5130 Page 24 of 27 - Integrity Submission

- Palomino, M., Pinto, J., Yañez, P., Cornelio, A., Dias, L., Amorim, Q., Martins, A.J., Lenhart, A. & Lima, J.B.P. 2022. First national-scale evaluation of temephos resistance in *Aedes* aegypti in Peru. Parasites & Vectors 15(1): 254.
- Pereira, A.P.M., de Sousa, B.A., Rosa, P.V.S., Lages, M.G.G., Silva, F.N., de Alencar, L.K.B., de Amorim, N.M.J., Soares, F. das C., Santos, R. C. dos., de Castro, G.L.C., de Araújo-Neto, A.P. & Everton, G.O. 2022. Larvicidal effect of the essential oil of *Curcuma xanthorrhiza* (ginger java) for *Aedes aegypti. Research, Society and Development* 11(15): e432111536785.
- Phasomkusolsil, S. & Soonwera, M. 2010. Insect repellent activity of medicinal plant oils against Aedes aegypti (Linn.), Anopheles minimus (Theobald), and Culex quinquefasciatus Say based on protection time and biting rate. The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 41: 831.
- Phukerd, U. & Soonwera, M. 2014. Repellency of essential oils extracted from Thai native plants against *Aedes aegypti* (Linn.) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say). *Parasitology Research* 113: 3333–3340.
- Piazzoni, M., Negri, A., Brambilla, E., Giussani, L., Pitton, S., Caccia, S., Epis, S., Bandi, C., Locarno, S. & Lenardi, C. 2022. Biodegradable floating hydrogel baits as larvicide delivery systems against mosquitoes. *Soft Matter* 18: 6443-6452.
- Poonsri, W., Pengsook, A., Pluempanupat, W. & Yooboon, T. 2019. Evaluation of *Alpinia* galanga (Zingiberaceae) extracts and isolated *trans*-cinnamic acid on some mosquitoes larvae. *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture* 6: 17
- Prawirasudarga, F.D., Amin, A.A. & Tumbelaka, L.I. 2018. Potensi Ekstrak Temu Ireng (Curcuma aeruginosa) Sebagai Larvasida Terhadap Larva Nyamuk Aedes aegypti. Bogor: IPB Scientific Repository.
- Qi, M., Hua, X., Peng, X., Yan, X. & Lin, J. 2018. Comparison of chemical composition in the buds of *Aralia elata* from different geographical origins of China. *Royal Society Open Science* 5: 180676.
- Rahayu, R., Hasmiwati, Muslima, R.U., Devita, R., Yani, Y.P., Melta, D. & Fatimah, G. 2021. Entomological indicator and susceptibility status of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) to temephos in dengue-endemic regencies/cities in West Sumatra. *International Journal of Mosquito Research* 8(5): 7-10.
- Rasli, R., Cheong, Y.L., Che-Ibrahim, M.K., Farahininajua Fikri, S.F., Norzali, R.N., Nazarudin, N.A., Hamdan, N.F., Muhamed K.A., Hafisool, A.A., Azmi, R.A., Ismail, H.A., Ali, R., Ab Hamid, N., Taib, M.Z., Omar, T., Wasi Ahmad, N. & Lee, H.L. 2021. Insecticide resistance in dengue vectors from hotspots in Selangor, Malaysia. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 15(3): e0009205.
- Rasul, M.G. 2018. Conventional extraction methods use in medicinal plants, their advantages and disadvantages. *International Journal of Basic Sciences and Applied Computing* 2(6): 10-14.

- Sampaio, B.L. & Da-Costa, F.B. 2018. Influence of abiotic environmental factors on the main constituents of the volatile oils of *Tithonia diversifolia*. *Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia* 28(2): 135-144.
- Satoto, T.B.T., Maniam, S., Ganesen, K. & Ernaningsih. 2013. Larvicidal effect of ether and chloroform extract of *Kaempferia galanga* against the larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Research* 5(2): 96-100.
- Sayono, S., Anwar, R. & Sumanto, D. 2020. Evaluation of toxicity in four extract types of tuba root against dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 23: 1530-1538.
- Schorkopf, D.L., Spanoudis, C.G., Mboera, L.E., Mafra-Neto, A., Ignell, R. & Dekker, T. 2016. Combining attractants and larvicides in biodegradable matrices for sustainable mosquito vector control. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 10(10): e0005043.
- Shetu, H.J., Trisha, K.T., Sikta, S.A., Anwar, R., Rashed, S.S.B. & Dash, P.R. 2018. Pharmacological importance of *Kaempferia galanga* (Zingiberaceae): A mini review. *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences* 3(3): 32-39.
- Srisawat, N., Thisyakorn, U., Ismail, Z., Rafiq, K. & Gubler, D. J., on behalf of ADVA-ISNTD World Dengue Day Committee. 2022. World Dengue Day: A call for action. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 16(8): e0010586.
- Statistics Indonesia. 2022. Production of Medicinal Plants 2019-2021. https://www.bps.go.id/indicator/55/63/1/produksi-tanaman-biofarmaka-obat-.html [14 November 2022]
- Sumarni S., Sudarmin, S. & Sumarti, S.S. 2019. The scientification of jamu: A study of Indonesian's traditional medicine. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1321: 032057.
- Susilowati, I.T., Harningsih, T. & Vidyaningrum, I.A. 2021. Larvicidal activity of turmeric (*Curcuma domestica*) extract against *Aedes aegypti* L. *Jurnal Biologi Lingkungan, Industri Kesehatan* 8(1): 1-9.
- Tawatsin, A., Wratten, S.D., Scott, R.R., Thavara, U. & Techadamrongsin, Y. 2001. Repellency of volatile oils from plants against three mosquito vectors. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 26: 76–82.
- Tian, N., Zheng, J-.X., Guo, Z-.Y., Li, L-.H., Xia, S., Lv, S. & Zhou, X-.N. 2022. Dengue incidence trends and its burden in major endemic regions from 1990 to 2019. *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease* 7: 180.
- Valle, D., Bellinato, D.F., Viana-Medeiros, P.F., Lima, J.B.P. & Martins-Junior, A.J. 2019. Resistance to temephos and deltamethrin in *Aedes aegypti* from Brazil between 1985 and 2017. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 114: e180544.

- World Health Organization (WHO). 2009. *Guidelines For Efficacy Testing Of Mosquito Repellents For Human Skin*. Geneva, Switzerland: Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases.
- Zeng, Z., Zhan, J., Chen, L. & Cheng, S. 2021. Global, regional, and national dengue burden from 1990 to 2017: A systematic analysis based on the global burden of disease study 2017. *EClinical Medicine* 32: 100712.